

Empirical Investigation of an Open Conjecture: AdaBoost Always Cycles? (Global Dynamics Conjecture)

Agentic NL→Lean 4 Pipeline
Job #50

April 26, 2026

Abstract

This report documents the empirical investigation of an open mathematical conjecture that could not be formally proved or disproved in Lean 4 with Mathlib. Numerical experiments were conducted to gather evidence for or against the conjecture. The empirical verdict is: **Inconclusive**. The conjecture remains formally open.

1 Conjecture Statement

Conjecture 1.

AdaBoost Always Cycles? (Global Dynamics Conjecture)

Let

=

{

(

,

)

}

=

1

S={ (x

i

, y

i

)}

i=1

m

be a fixed binary-labeled dataset with

{-

1

,

+

1

}

y

i -

{1,+1}, and let

H be a weak-hypothesis class. Consider the discrete AdaBoost update of Freund & Schapire (1997), in the exhaustive weak-learner regime used in Rudin et al. (2012), with weight vectors Δ -

1

w

t Δ -

m1

(the probability simplex). At iteration

t, choose

arg

max

=

1

,

(

)

,

h

t

arg

hH

max

$i=1$

m

w

t, i

y

i

$h(x$

i

),

define weighted error

=

=

1

```

,
1
{
(
)
}
,
t
=
i=1
m
w
t, i
1{h
t
(x
i
)
=y
i
},
and update with
=
1
2
log

```

1-

,

+

1

,

=

,

exp

(-

(

)

)

,

t

=

2

1

log

t-

1

t

$w_{t+1, i}$

$= Z_t$

$w_{t, i}$

$\exp(-\frac{h}{t})$

y_i

h_t

$(x_i$

$))$

,

where

Z_t

normalizes to

$+$

1

,

$=$

1

i

w

$t+1, i$

$=1.$

Equivalently, with finite hypothesis set

$=$

{

\sim

1

, ...

,

\sim

}

$H = \{$

h

\sim

1...

, ,

h

\sim

N

} and matrix

{-

1

,

+

1

}

\times

$M = \{-1, +1\}$

$m \times N$

defined by

```
=  
  
~  
(  
  
)  
M  
ij  
  
=y  
i  
  
h  
~  
j  
  
(x  
i  
  
) , step  
t selects  
  
arg  
max  
  
[  
]  
(  
  
)  
,
```

=

~

.

j

t

arg

$j[N]$

max

(w

t

M)

j

, h

t

=

h

~

j

t

.

As specified in Rudin et al. (2012), if this argmax is not unique, ties are broken in a fixed deterministic way (for concreteness: pick the smallest index

). The generic no-tie condition means the argmax is unique at every iterate, i.e.

(

)

(

)

for all

and all

,
(w
 t

M)
 j

$=$ (w
 t

M)
 j

for all j

$=j$

and all t ,

equivalently,

w
 t

never lands on a tie boundary between weak-hypothesis regions of the simplex.

This induces a discrete dynamical system

```
:Δ -
```

```
1→Δ -
```

2 Status

Formal Status: OPEN — no Lean 4 proof or disproof was found.

Empirical Verdict: **Inconclusive**

The pipeline attempted formal verification in Lean 4 with Mathlib but was unable to produce a compiling proof or disproof. Empirical testing was then conducted to gather numerical evidence.

3 Experiment Code (Basic)

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib
matplotlib.use("Agg")
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import random
import time

print("=" * 70)
print("=== EXPERIMENT PLAN ===")
print("=" * 70)
print("""
CONJECTURE: AdaBoost Always Cycles (Rudin et al. 2012 setting)
Discrete AdaBoost on a fixed dataset with finite weak-hypothesis class
H induces a deterministic map T on the simplex Delta_{m-1}. With
deterministic tie-breaking (smallest index) and the generic no-tie
condition, the conjecture states that the iterates {w_t} ALWAYS
become eventually periodic.

EQUIVALENT FORMULATION:
With margin matrix M_{ij} = y_i * h_j(x_i) in {-1,+1}^{m x N}:
j_t = argmax_j (w_t^T M)_j (smallest index broken)
eps_t = sum_i w_{t,i} * 1_{M_{i,j_t} = -1}
alpha_t = 0.5 * log((1-eps_t)/eps_t)
w_{t+1,i} = w_{t,i} * exp(-alpha_t * M_{i,j_t})

EXPERIMENTAL STRATEGY (multiple angles):
(A) Random sampling across various (m,N) shapes
(B) Edge / boundary cases (Hadamard, redundant, anti-symmetric)
(C) Counterexample search: systematic enumeration for small (m,N)
(D) Asymptotic / scaling: cycle length vs problem size
(E) Stability verification via two-period closure + symbol periodicity
""")
```

```

rng = np.random.default_rng(20260425)
random.seed(20260425)
t_start = time.time()

# -----
# Core AdaBoost dynamics
# -----
def adaboost_step(w, M):
    scores = w @ M
    j = int(np.argmax(scores))          # smallest index argmax
    col = M[:, j]
    eps = float(np.sum(w * (col < 0)))
    if eps <= 1e-14:
        return None, j, eps, "eps_zero"
    if eps >= 1 - 1e-14:
        return None, j, eps, "eps_one"
    alpha = 0.5 * np.log((1.0 - eps) / eps)
    w_new = w * np.exp(-alpha * col)
    s = w_new.sum()
    if s <= 0 or not np.isfinite(s):
        return None, j, eps, "numerical"
    return w_new / s, j, eps, "ok"

def find_period(M, T0=400, T1=600, tol=1e-9):
    m, N = M.shape
    w = np.ones(m) / m
    for t in range(T0):
        w, j, eps, st = adaboost_step(w, M)
        if st != "ok":
            return {"status": "degenerate_" + st, "period": -1,
                    "min_dist": np.nan}

    w0 = w.copy()
    min_dist = np.inf
    period = -1
    for k in range(1, T1 + 1):
        w, j, eps, st = adaboost_step(w, M)
        if st != "ok":
            return {"status": "degenerate_" + st, "period": -1,
                    "min_dist": np.nan}

        d = np.linalg.norm(w - w0)
        if d < min_dist:
            min_dist = d
        if d < tol and period == -1:
            period = k
            break

    if period == -1:
        return {"status": "no_cycle_found", "period": -1,
                "min_dist": min_dist}
    return {"status": "cycle", "period": period, "min_dist": min_dist}

def verify_cycle(M, period, T0=400, tol=1e-8):

```

```

m, N = M.shape
w = np.ones(m) / m
for t in range(T0):
    w, j, eps, st = adaboost_step(w, M)
    if st != "ok":
        return False, "burnin_degenerate"
w0 = w.copy()
js1, js2 = [], []
for k in range(period):
    w, j, eps, st = adaboost_step(w, M)
    js1.append(j)
    if st != "ok":
        return False, "deg"
d1 = np.linalg.norm(w - w0)
for k in range(period):
    w, j, eps, st = adaboost_step(w, M)
    js2.append(j)
    if st != "ok":
        return False, "deg"
d2 = np.linalg.norm(w - w0)
sym_match = (js1 == js2)
ok = (d1 < tol) and (d2 < tol) and sym_match
return ok, {"d1": d1, "d2": d2, "symbols_match": sym_match}

# -----
# (A) Random sampling experiment
# -----
print("\n[A] RANDOM SAMPLING EXPERIMENT")
print("-" * 70)

shapes = [(3,4), (4,5), (5,6), (6,8), (8,10), (10,12)]
trials_per_shape = 50
all_records = []

for (m, N) in shapes:
    successes = 0
    periods = []
    degens = 0
    nocyc = 0
    for trial in range(trials_per_shape):
        M = rng.choice([-1, 1], size=(m, N)).astype(float)
        res = find_period(M, T0=300, T1=500, tol=1e-9)
        if res["status"] == "cycle":
            successes += 1
            periods.append(res["period"])
        elif res["status"].startswith("degenerate"):
            degens += 1
        else:
            nocyc += 1
    all_records.append({"m": m, "N": N, **res})
pavg = np.median(periods) if periods else -1
pmax = max(periods) if periods else -1
print(f" shape (m={m:2d}, N={N:2d}): cycles={successes:3d}/")

```

```

        f"{trials_per_shape}, degenerate={degens:3d}, no_cycle={nocyc:3d},
        "
        f"median_period={pavg:.0f}, max_period={pmax}"

# -----
# (B) Edge / boundary cases
# -----
print("\n[B] EDGE / BOUNDARY CASES")
print("-" * 70)

edge_cases = []
edge
# ... [truncated]

```

4 Experiment Code (Advanced)

```

"""
Advanced numerical experiment for the AdaBoost Cycling Conjecture
(--RudinSchapireDaubechies regime, deterministic smallest-index tie-break).

This goes WELL beyond the basic FP64 experiment by adding:
- multi-precision (mpmath) orbit recomputation as the analog of grid
  refinement for a discrete dynamical system,
- exact-loss energy/identity monitoring  $\Pi_t Z_t = (1/m) \sum_i \exp(-y_i F_T(x_i))$ ,
- long-horizon ( $T = 4000$ ) certified periodicity at 200-bit precision,
- parameter sensitivity over  $(m, N)$  and tie-break choice,
- convergence-slope diagnostic  $\log_2(\text{closure\_distance})$  vs. precision.
"""

import matplotlib
matplotlib.use("Agg")
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np
import mpmath as mp
import math, time, random
from itertools import product

t0 = time.time()
rng = np.random.default_rng(20260425)
random.seed(20260425)

# =====
# 1. PLAN
# =====
print("=== ADVANCED EXPERIMENT PLAN ===")
print("""
TARGET CONJECTURE
  For a fixed +/-1 margin matrix  $M \in \{-1, +1\}^{m \times N}$ , the discrete
  AdaBoost map  $T : \Delta^{m-1} \rightarrow \Delta^{m-1}$ 
       $j_t = \operatorname{argmax}_j (w_t^T M)_j$  (smallest index on ties),

```

$_t = (1 - (w_t^T M)_{\{j_t\}}) / 2,$
 $_t = 1/2 \log((1 - _t) / _t),$
 $w_{\{t+1, i\}} = w_{\{t, i\}} \exp(-_t M_{\{i, j_t\}})$
is conjectured to produce eventually periodic orbits on every non-tie initial weight w_0 .

WHY THE BASIC EXPERIMENT IS INSUFFICIENT

The double-precision FP64 sweep produced ~45% "no_cycle_found" trajectories, but *cannot distinguish*

- (a) genuinely aperiodic orbits (= a counterexample),
- (b) cycle period > horizon,
- (c) finite-precision drift past tie-hyperplanes (numerical only).

RESEARCH-GRADE PROTOCOL

- (A) FP64 sweep over $(m, N) \in \{3, 4, 6, 8\}$; harvest "no_cycle" cases.
- (B) MULTI-PRECISION CONVERGENCE TEST. Re-run those problem orbits at mpmath precisions $\{53, 100, 200, 400\}$ bits. Track the minimum closure distance $d(\text{prec}) = \min_p \|w_T - w_{\{-Tp\}}\|_2$. If the conjecture is true, $d(\text{prec}) \downarrow 0$ exponentially in prec.
- (C) EXACT INVARIANT (energy) MONITORING. AdaBoost satisfies the structural identity

$$\sum_{\{t < T\}} Z_t = (1/m) \sum_i \exp(-y_i F_T(x_i)),$$
 with $F_T(x_i) = \sum_t _t M_{\{i, j_t\}}$. We verify this in mpmath. Drift = a numerical artifact, not dynamics.
- (D) LONG-HORIZON CERTIFICATION. $T = 4000$ at prec = 200 bits. Cycles must remain exactly periodic (closure < 10^{-50}) and the Floquet-style rate $\log \Phi_t / t$ must converge to $(\log \Phi_p) / p$.
- (E) TIE-BREAK SENSITIVITY. Compare smallest-index vs largest-index tie-break to detect tie-hyperplane hits.
- (F) PARAMETER SWEEP. Cycle-detection rate as a function of (m, N) , distribution of observed periods.

EXPECTED OUTCOMES

TRUE : $d(\text{prec}) \rightarrow 0 \sim 2^{-\text{prec}}$; energy identity holds to machine eps; every FP64 'no_cycle_found' orbit becomes periodic at prec=400.
 FALSE : at least one orbit's closure distance stays bounded > 0 across all precisions, with non-eventually-periodic symbol sequence.

""")

```

# =====
# 2. CORE DYNAMICS (FP64 and mpmath)
# =====
def step_fp(w, M):
    edges = w @ M.astype(np.float64)
    j = int(np.argmax(edges))          # numpy returns first
    occurrence
    eps = 0.5 * (1.0 - edges[j])
    if not (1e-14 < eps < 1 - 1e-14):
        return None
    alpha = 0.5 * np.log((1 - eps) / eps)
    f = np.exp(-alpha * M[:, j])
    w2 = w * f
    Z = w2.sum()
    return w2 / Z, j, eps, alpha, Z

```

```

def run_fp(M, w0, T):
    w = w0.astype(np.float64).copy()
    m = len(w)
    traj = np.empty((T + 1, m)); traj[0] = w
    syms = np.zeros(T, dtype=int)
    Zs = np.zeros(T); alphas = np.zeros(T)
    for t in range(T):
        out = step_fp(w, M)
        if out is None:
            return traj[: t + 1], syms[:t], Zs[:t], alphas[:t], "degenerate"
        w, j, eps, alpha, Z = out
        traj[t + 1] = w; syms[t] = j; Zs[t] = Z; alphas[t] = alpha
    return traj, syms, Zs, alphas, "ran"

def detect_period_fp(traj, syms, tol=1e-8):
    T = len(traj) - 1
    syms_l = list(syms)
    best_d = float("inf"); best_p = -1
    for p in range(1, T // 2 + 1):
        d = float(np.linalg.norm(traj[T] - traj[T - p]))
        if d < best_d: best_d = d
        if d < tol and syms_l[T - p:T] == syms_l[T - 2 * p:T - p]:
            return p, d
    return -1, best_d

def step_mp(w, M):
    m, N = M.shape
    edges = []
    for j in range(N):
        col = M[:, j]
        s = mp.mpf(0)
        for i in range(m):
            s += w[i] * int(col[i])
        edges.append(s)
    best = 0
    for j in range(1, N):
        if edges[j] > edges[best]:
            best = j
    eps = (mp.mpf(1) - edges[best]) / 2
    if eps <= 0 or eps >= 1:
        return None
    al
# ... [truncated]

```

5 Conclusion

The conjecture remains formally open. Numerical experiments were **inconclusive** — neither strong support nor clear counterexamples were found. Further investigation (both formal and empirical) is warranted.