

Empirical Investigation of an Open Conjecture: Anytime Convergence Rate of Gradient Descent

Agentic NL→Lean 4 Pipeline
Job #46

April 26, 2026

Abstract

This report documents the empirical investigation of an open mathematical conjecture that could not be formally proved or disproved in Lean 4 with Mathlib. Numerical experiments were conducted to gather evidence for or against the conjecture. The empirical verdict is: **Inconclusive**. The conjecture remains formally open.

1 Conjecture Statement

Conjecture 1.

Anytime Convergence Rate of Gradient Descent

Let

: \rightarrow

$f:R$

$d \rightarrow$

R be a convex differentiable function with

L -Lipschitz gradient ("

L -smooth"), i.e.,

(

)-

(

) -

$f(x) - f(y) \leq Lxy$ for all

,

x, y . Assume

f attains its minimum, and fix an initialization

0

x

0

R

d

with some minimizer $*$

arg

min

x^*

$argmin f$ and value $*$

$=$

$(*$

$)$

f^*

$=f(x^*$

). Consider vanilla gradient descent with a predetermined (oblivious) stepsize sequence

(

)

0

(

t

)

t0

(possibly depending on

L but not on the stopping time

T):

+

1

= -

(

)

,

=

0

,

1

,

2

, ...

.

x

t+1

=x

t-

t

$f(x)$
 t

$), t \dots = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

The classical worst-case guarantee for suitable constant stepsizes gives an anytime bound of order

(

) - *

0 - *

2

/

$f(x)$
 T

) - f^*

CLx

0 -

x^*

2

$/T$ holding for all

TN and all

L-smooth convex

f, with a universal constant

C.

Unsolved Problem

Do stepsizes alone yield a strictly faster worst-case anytime rate on the actual iterate

x
T

? Equivalently, does there exist a stepsize sequence

(

)

0

(

t

)

t0

and an exponent

>

1

>1 such that for some universal constant

< ω

$C\omega^<$, for every dimension

d, every

L-smooth convex

f attaining its minimum, every initialization

0

x

0

*, every choice of minimizer **

arg

min

x^*

$argminf$, and every

TN ,

(

) - *

0 - *

2

?

$f(x)$
 T

) - f^*

C

T

Lx

0 -

x^*

2

?

More generally, what is the best function

(

)

$r(T)$ for which there exists an oblivious stepsize schedule such that

(

)-*

0-*

2

(

)

$f(x)$
 T

)- f^*

CLx
0-

x^*

2

$r(T)$ holds simultaneously for all

T and all

L-smooth convex

f?

Solution Claims

Accepted claims are public. Pending claims are visible only to the claimant and site administrators.

2 Status

Formal Status: OPEN — no Lean 4 proof or disproof was found.

Empirical Verdict: **Inconclusive**

The pipeline attempted formal verification in Lean 4 with Mathlib but was unable to produce a compiling proof or disproof. Empirical testing was then conducted to gather numerical evidence.

3 Basic Empirical Testing

The following output was produced by the basic numerical experiment:

```
=== EXPERIMENT PLAN ===
```

Conjecture (COLT 2024 open problem, anytime convergence rate of GD):

There exists an OBLIVIOUS stepsize sequence $(\eta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ (depending on L but not on the stopping time T) and an exponent $\alpha > 1$ such that, for a universal constant C , for EVERY L -smooth convex f with a minimum, every x_0 , every minimizer x^* , and every T in \mathbb{N} :

$$f(x_T) - f^* \leq C * L * \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 / T^\alpha .$$

We empirically probe this from MULTIPLE angles:

Test 1 (canonical worst case): Nesterov's tridiagonal hard quadratic. This instance saturates the $\Omega(1/T)$ lower bound for plain GD with constant stepsize, so it is a sharp test for any candidate schedule.

Test 2 (oblivious schedule families): constant $1/L$, polynomial decay $\eta_t = 1/(L(t+1)^\beta)$ for several β , and several "long-step" schedules that insert larger steps at indices $2^k - 1$ (the natural anytime extension of the silver-stepsize idea of Altschuler-Parrilo & Grimmer-Shu-Wang). The long-step schedules are the only known way to break the $1/T$ barrier.

Test 3 (horizon-tuned benchmark): for each T , run a horizon-dependent (NON-oblivious) silver-style schedule of length T . This is NOT what the conjecture asks for, but provides an upper-envelope benchmark.

Test 4 (robustness): random quadratics with varied condition numbers and seeds, to check whether the empirical exponent on Nesterov's instance carries over to other smooth convex problems.

Test 5 (counterexample search): for each oblivious schedule, examine whether $\sup_T T^\alpha * (f(x_T) - f^*) / (L * R^2)$ is bounded for $\alpha = 1.05$. If, for every tested schedule, this ratio increases with T , we have empirical evidence AGAINST the conjecture (no oblivious schedule among the tested family exhibits $\alpha > 1$).

Test 6 (asymptotic exponent): log-log linear regression of normalized suboptimality against T to extract empirical α .

--- Setup ---

$L = 1.0$, $d = 600$, $\|x_0 - x^*\|^2 = R^2 = 199.8336$, $f^* = -0.1248$
 T grid: [1 2 3 4] ... [170 208 256] ($T_{\max} = 256$)

--- Empirical convergence exponent on Nesterov hard quadratic ---

Schedule	alpha_emp	C*max	subopt(T_{\max})/(LR^2)
constant 1/L	0.4763	0.0005	3.011e-05
decay beta=0.10	0.4320	0.0004	3.799e-05
decay beta=0.25	0.3681	0.0004	5.308e-05
decay beta=0.50	0.2697	0.0004	8.875e-05
longstep f=1.5 anytime	0.4613	0.0004	2.987e-05
longstep f=2.0 anytime	0.4487	0.0004	2.964e-05
longstep f=2.4 anytime	0.4400	0.0004	2.945e-05
growing-longstep anyt.	0.4577	0.0004	2.811e-05
horizon-silver (NON-oblivious)	0.5122	(benchmark; not anytime)	

--- Robustness: random quadratics (κ in {50, 200, 1000}, 4 seeds each)

constant 1/L =12)	alpha mean=1.051	range=[0.120, 2.514]	(n
decay beta=0.10 =12)	alpha mean=0.778	range=[0.092, 1.749]	(n
decay beta=0.25 =12)	alpha mean=0.514	range=[0.066, 1.113]	(n
decay beta=0.50 =12)	alpha mean=0.286	range=[0.042, 0.663]	(n
longstep f=1.5 anytime =12)	alpha mean=1.053	range=[0.119, 2.543]	(n
longstep f=2.0 anytime =12)	alpha mean=1.056	range=[0.118, 2.573]	(n
longstep f=2.4 anytime =12)	alpha mean=1.061	range=[0.118, 2.600]	(n
growing-longstep anyt. =12)	alpha mean=1.143	range=[0.127, 2.820]	(n

--- Counterexample probe: is $\sup_T T^\alpha * (f(x_T) - f^*) / (L R^2)$ bounded?

```
Using alpha = 1.05 on Nesterov hard quadratic.
Schedule          C(small T)    C(large T)    growth
constant 1/L      3.899e-04     1.017e-02     26.09x
decay beta=0.10
... [truncated]
```

4 Advanced Empirical Testing

A research-grade experiment was designed with nonlinear analysis, parameter sweeps, and convergence testing. Output:

```
=== ADVANCED EXPERIMENT PLAN ===

We test whether OBLIVIOUS, ANYTIME stepsize schedules for vanilla gradient
descent
on L-smooth convex functions can achieve worst-case  $f(x_T) - f^* \leq C L R^2 / T^\alpha$ 
with  $\alpha > 1$ , beyond the classical  $1/T$  rate.

Beyond the basic experiment we add:
(1) Multiple problem classes:
    (a) Nesterov tridiagonal hard quadratic at  $d$  in  $\{25, 100, 300\}$  (
        canonical worst
        case for first-order methods, dimension-resolution convergence
        test).
    (b) Drori 1D Huber-like NON-quadratic (the actual analytic worst case
        for
        constant  $1/L$  GD via Drori-Teboulle PEP).
    (c) Symmetric Log-Sum-Exp -- highly nonlinear, non-quadratic, smooth
        convex.
(2) Modern advanced schedules:
    - Constant  $1/L$  (classical baseline)
    - Polynomial decay  $(t+1)^{-\beta}$  for  $\beta$  in  $\{0.25, 0.5\}$ 
    - Long-step periodic (Grimmer-Shu-Wang style 7-period pattern)
    - Anytime nested-silver (periodic block of Altschuler-Parrilo silver)
    - Silver-horizon (NON-anytime benchmark; theoretical  $\alpha = \log_2(1 + \sqrt{2}) \sim 1.272$ )
(3) Convergence test across dimensions  $d$  in  $\{25, 100, 300\}$  for Nesterov to
    assess
    dimension-free behavior; rates should be  $d$ -independent for true worst-
    case.
(4) Lyapunov / "energy" monitoring: Drori-Teboulle Lyapunov
     $V_t = t(f(x_t) - f^*) + (L/2) \|x_t - x^*\|^2$ 
    should be monotone non-increasing under any schedule that proves the  $1/$ 
     $T$  rate.
    We track its relative drift -- positive drift signals breakdown of the
    proof.
(5) Counterexample probe: for each schedule and  $\alpha$  in  $\{1.0, 1.1, 1.272\}$ ,
    compute max over  $T$  of  $T^\alpha * (f(x_T) - f^*) / (LR^2)$  up to  $T = 2048$ ,
    comparing
    small- $T$  and large- $T$  windows: unbounded growth refutes  $\alpha$  at that
    exponent.
```

(6) Comparison with Drori-Teboulle theoretical worst-case $1/(4T+2)$ for constant- $1/L$ GD and with the silver schedule's theoretical $T^{-\log_2(1+\sqrt{2})}$.

Expected behavior:

- If conjecture TRUE: at least one ANYTIME oblivious schedule shows $\alpha_{\text{emp}} > 1$ robustly across dimensions and bounded $T^\alpha \cdot (f-f^*)$ up to large T .
- If conjecture FALSE: only horizon-tuned silver achieves $\alpha > 1$; all anytime schedules show $\alpha \leq 1$ with $T \cdot (f-f^*)$ bounded but $T^{1+\epsilon} \cdot (f-f^*)$ growing.

Solver: vanilla gradient descent (forward Euler).

```
T_MAX = 2048, problem set = ['Nesterov-d25', 'Nesterov-d100', 'Nesterov-d300', 'Drori-Huber-1D', 'LSE-d80']
schedules = ['const-1/L', 'decay-0.25', 'decay-0.50', 'long-step-period', 'nested-silver-p4', 'silver-horizon*']
schedule 'const-1/L': eta_avg = 1.0000, eta_max = 1.0000, eta_min = 1.0000
schedule 'decay-0.25': eta_avg = 0.1978, eta_max = 1.0000, eta_min = 0.1487
schedule 'decay-0.50': eta_avg = 0.0435, eta_max = 1.0000, eta_min = 0.0221
schedule 'long-step-period': eta_avg = 1.7246, eta_max = 2.4142, eta_min = 1.4142
schedule 'nested-silver-p4': eta_avg = 2.6124, eta_max = 15.0711, eta_min = 1.4142
schedule 'silver-horizon*': eta_avg = 11.2129, eta_max = 6726.9999, eta_min = 1.4142
```

--- Empirical convergence exponent α_{emp} (fit on T in $[T_{\text{MAX}}/4, T_{\text{MAX}}]$)

```
---
Problem          Schedule          alpha_emp    subopt(T_MAX)/(LR^2)
Nesterov-d25     const-1/L          8.3521       3.7339e-10
Nesterov-d25     decay-0.25         1.4446       6.1031e-05
Nesterov-d25     decay-0.50         0.4175       7.0277e-04
Nesterov-d25     long-step-period   14.4242      7.0399e-15
Nesterov-d25     nested-silver-p4   20.0288      -5.0940e-18
Nesterov-d25     silver-horizon*    12.8578      -5.0940e-18
Nesterov-d100    const-1/L          0.8643       2.9128e-05
Nesterov-d100    decay-0.25         0.4689       1.1201e-04
Nesterov-d100    decay-0.50         0.2826       2.8081e-04
Nesterov-d100    long-step-period   1.1273       1.3589e-05
Nesterov-d100    nested-silver-p4   1.5248       5.6014
... [truncated]
```

5 Experiment Code (Basic)

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib
```

```

matplotlib.use("Agg")
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from scipy.stats import linregress

print("===_EXPERIMENT_PLAN_===")
print("""
Conjecture (COLT 2024 open problem, anytime convergence rate of GD):
  There exists an OBLIVIOUS stepsize sequence  $(\eta_t)_{t \geq 0}$  (depending on
  L
  but not on the stopping time T) and an exponent  $\alpha > 1$  such that, for
  a
  universal constant C, for EVERY L-smooth convex f with a minimum, every
  x0,
  every minimizer x*, and every T in N:
      
$$f(x_T) - f^* \leq C * L * \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 / T^\alpha .$$


We empirically probe this from MULTIPLE angles:

Test 1 (canonical worst case): Nesterov's tridiagonal hard quadratic.
  This instance saturates the  $\Omega(1/T)$  lower bound for plain GD with
  constant stepsize, so it is a sharp test for any candidate schedule.

Test 2 (oblivious schedule families): constant  $1/L$ , polynomial decay
 $\eta_t = 1/(L (t+1)^\beta)$  for several beta, and several "long-step"
schedules that insert larger steps at indices  $2^k - 1$  (the natural
anytime extension of the silver-stepsize idea of Altschuler-Parrilo
&
Grimmer-Shu-Wang). The long-step schedules are the only known way to
break the  $1/T$  barrier.

Test 3 (horizon-tuned benchmark): for each T, run a horizon-dependent
(NON-oblivious) silver-style schedule of length T. This is NOT what
the conjecture asks for, but provides an upper-envelope benchmark.

Test 4 (robustness): random quadratics with varied condition numbers and
seeds, to check whether the empirical exponent on Nesterov's
instance
carries over to other smooth convex problems.

Test 5 (counterexample search): for each oblivious schedule, examine
whether
 $\sup_T T^\alpha * (f(x_T) - f^*) / (L * R^2)$  is bounded for  $\alpha = 1.05$ .
If, for every tested schedule, this ratio increases with T, we have
empirical evidence AGAINST the conjecture (no oblivious schedule
among
the tested family exhibits  $\alpha > 1$ ).

Test 6 (asymptotic exponent): log-log linear regression of normalized
suboptimality against T to extract empirical alpha.
""")

# ----- Setup -----
rng = np.random.default_rng(0)
L = 1.0

```

```

T_max = 256
T_grid = np.unique(np.round(np.logspace(0, np.log10(T_max), 28)).astype(int)
)

# ----- Test functions -----
def nesterov_hard(d):
    """Canonical worst-case quadratic:  $A=(L/4)*\text{tridiag}(-1,2,-1)$ ,  $b=(L/4)e_1$ .
    """
    A = (L/4.0) * (2*np.eye(d) - np.eye(d, k=1) - np.eye(d, k=-1))
    b = np.zeros(d); b[0] = L/4.0
    x_star = np.linalg.solve(A, b)
    f_star = 0.5*x_star @ A @ x_star - b @ x_star
    x0 = np.zeros(d)
    R2 = float(np.sum((x0 - x_star)**2))
    return A, b, x0, x_star, f_star, R2

def random_quadratic(d, kappa=200.0, seed=0):
    rr = np.random.default_rng(seed)
    Q, _ = np.linalg.qr(rr.standard_normal((d, d)))
    eigs = np.linspace(L/kappa, L, d)
    A = Q @ np.diag(eigs) @ Q.T
    A = 0.5*(A + A.T)
    b = rr.standard_normal(d)
    x_star = np.linalg.solve(A, b)
    f_star = 0.5*x_star @ A @ x_star - b @ x_star
    x0 = np.zeros(d)
    R2 = float(np.sum((x0 - x_star)**2))
    return A, b, x0, x_star, f_star, R2

def run_gd(A, b, x0, eta_seq, fmax=1e30):
    T = len(eta_seq)
    x = x0.copy()
    fs = np.empty(T+1)
    fs[0] = 0.5*x @ A @ x - b @ x
    for t in range(T):
        g = A @ x - b
        x = x - eta_seq[t]*g
        v = 0.5*x @ A @ x - b @ x
        if not np.isfinite(v) or abs(v) > fmax:
            fs[t+1:] = fmax
            return fs
        fs[t+1] = v
    return fs

# ----- Oblivious (anytime) schedules -----
def sched_const(T): return np.full(T, 1.0/L)
def sched_decay(T, beta): return 1.0/(L*np.arange(1, T+1)**beta)

def sched_longstep_anytime(T, factor):
    """At indices  $2^k - 1$ , insert a long step of size  $\text{factor}/L$ ; else  $1/L$ ."""
    eta = np.full(T, 1.0/L)
    k = 1
    while (1 << k) - 1 < T:
        eta[(1 << k) - 1] = factor/L

```

```

        k += 1
    return eta

def sched_growing_longstep(T):
    """Grow long-step factor with k:  $\eta_{\{2^k-1\}} = (1 + 2^{\{(k-1)/2\}})/L$ ."""
    eta = np.full(T, 1.0/L)
    k = 1
    while (1 << k) - 1 < T:
        eta[(1 << k) - 1] = (1.0 + 2.0**((k-1)/2.0))/L
        k += 1
    return eta

# Horizon-tuned silver-style schedule (NOT oblivious; benchmark only)
def silver_horizon(T):
    if T <= 0: return np.array([])
    K = int(np.ceil(np.log2(T+1)))
    def build(k):
        if k == 0: return [1.0]
        s = build(k-1)
        rho = 1.0 + 2.0**((k-1)/2.0)
        return s + [rho] + s
    full = build(K)
    return np.array(full[:T]) / L

# ----- Test 1-2: schedules on Nesterov's hard quadratic -----
d_test = max(2*T_max + 5, 600)
A, b, x0, xs, f_star, R2 = nesterov_hard(d_test)
print(f"---_Setup_---")
print(f"L={L}, d={d_test}, ||x0-x*||^2=R^2={R2:.4f}, f*={f_star:.4f}")
print(f"T_grid: {T_grid[:4]} ... {T_grid[-3:]} (T_max={T_max})")

schedules = {

# ... [truncated]

```

6 Experiment Code (Advanced)

```

import numpy as np
import matplotlib
matplotlib.use("Agg")
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import math
from itertools import product

print("===_ADVANCED_EXPERIMENT_PLAN_===")
print("""
We test whether OBLIVIOUS, ANYTIME stepsize schedules for vanilla gradient
descent
on L-smooth convex functions can achieve worst-case  $f(x_T) - f^* \leq C L R^2$ 
/  $T^\alpha$ 

```

with $\alpha > 1$, beyond the classical $1/T$ rate.

Beyond the basic experiment we add:

- (1) Multiple problem classes:
 - (a) Nesterov tridiagonal hard quadratic at d in $\{25, 100, 300\}$ (canonical worst case for first-order methods, dimension-resolution convergence test).
 - (b) Drori 1D Huber-like NON-quadratic (the actual analytic worst case for constant $1/L$ GD via Drori-Teboulle PEP).
 - (c) Symmetric Log-Sum-Exp -- highly nonlinear, non-quadratic, smooth convex.
- (2) Modern advanced schedules:
 - Constant $1/L$ (classical baseline)
 - Polynomial decay $(t+1)^{-\beta}$ for β in $\{0.25, 0.5\}$
 - Long-step periodic (Grimmer-Shu-Wang style 7-period pattern)
 - Anytime nested-silver (periodic block of Altschuler-Parrilo silver)
 - Silver-horizon (NON-anytime benchmark; theoretical $\alpha = \log_2(1 + \sqrt{2}) \sim 1.272$)
- (3) Convergence test across dimensions d in $\{25, 100, 300\}$ for Nesterov to assess dimension-free behavior; rates should be d -independent for true worst-case.
- (4) Lyapunov / "energy" monitoring: Drori-Teboulle Lyapunov $V_t = t(f(x_t) - f^*) + (L/2) \|x_t - x^*\|^2$ should be monotone non-increasing under any schedule that proves the $1/T$ rate. We track its relative drift -- positive drift signals breakdown of the proof.
- (5) Counterexample probe: for each schedule and α in $\{1.0, 1.1, 1.272\}$, compute max over T of $T^\alpha * (f(x_T) - f^*) / (LR^2)$ up to $T = 2048$, comparing small- T and large- T windows: unbounded growth refutes α at that exponent.
- (6) Comparison with Drori-Teboulle theoretical worst-case $1/(4T+2)$ for constant- $1/L$ GD and with the silver schedule's theoretical $T^{-\log_2(1 + \sqrt{2})}$.

Expected behavior:

- If conjecture TRUE: at least one ANYTIME oblivious schedule shows $\alpha_{emp} > 1$ robustly across dimensions and bounded $T^\alpha * (f - f^*)$ up to large T .
- If conjecture FALSE: only horizon-tuned silver achieves $\alpha > 1$; all anytime schedules show $\alpha \leq 1$ with $T * (f - f^*)$ bounded but $T^{1+\epsilon} * (f - f^*)$ growing.

""")

```
SQRT2 = np.sqrt(2.0)
```

```
RHO = 1.0 + SQRT2 # silver base
```

```
# ===== Problems =====
```

```
def make_nesterov(d, L=1.0):
```

```

A = np.diag(2.0*np.ones(d)) + np.diag(-1.0*np.ones(d-1), 1) + np.diag
    (-1.0*np.ones(d-1), -1)
M = (L/4.0) * A
e1 = np.zeros(d); e1[0] = 1.0
b = (L/4.0) * e1
x_star = np.linalg.solve(M, b)
f_star = 0.5 * x_star @ M @ x_star - b @ x_star
x0 = np.zeros(d)
R = np.linalg.norm(x0 - x_star)
f = lambda x: 0.5 * x @ (M @ x) - b @ x
g = lambda x: M @ x - b
return dict(name=f"Nesterov-d{d}", f=f, g=g, x0=x0.copy(),
            x_star=x_star, f_star=f_star, L=L, R=R)

def make_drori_huber(L=1.0, R=10.0):
    def f(x):
        a = abs(x[0])
        return 0.5*L*x[0]**2 if a <= 1.0 else L*a - 0.5*L
    def g(x):
        if abs(x[0]) <= 1.0: return L * x.copy()
        return np.array([L * np.sign(x[0])])
    x0 = np.array([float(R)])
    x_star = np.array([0.0])
    return dict(name="Drori-Huber-1D", f=f, g=g, x0=x0, x_star=x_star,
                f_star=0.0, L=L, R=R)

def make_lse(d, L=1.0, seed=1):
    rng = np.random.default_rng(seed)
    beta = L # f is at most beta-smooth
    def f(x):
        z = beta * x
        m = z.max()
        return (m + np.log(np.mean(np.exp(z - m))))/beta - np.mean(x)
    def g(x):
        z = beta * x
        m = z.max()
        e = np.exp(z - m)
        p = e / e.sum()
        return p - 1.0/len(x)
    x_star = np.zeros(d)
    f_star = 0.0
    x0 = rng.standard_normal(d) * 1.0
    R = np.linalg.norm(x0 - x_star)
    return dict(name=f"LSE-d{d}", f=f, g=g, x0=x0, x_star=x_star,
                f_star=f_star, L=L, R=R)

# ===== Schedules =====
def sched_constant(T, L=1.0):
    return np.ones(T) / L

def sched_decay(T, L=1.0, beta=0.25):
    return (1.0/L) * (1.0 + np.arange(T))**(-beta)

def sched_long_step_periodic(T, L=1.0):

```

```

pattern = np.array([SQRT2, 2.0, SQRT2, 1.0+SQRT2, SQRT2, 2.0, SQRT2])
n = T // len(pattern) + 1
return np.tile(pattern, n)[:T] / L

def sched_silver_horizon(T, L=1.0):
    """Altschuler-Parrilo silver schedule, recursive: silver(p)=silver(p-1)
        +[1+rho^(p-1)]+silver(p-1)
        Length 2^(p+1)-1. Horizon-tuned (NOT anytime)."""
    h = [SQRT2]
    p = 0
    while len(h) < T:
        p += 1
        h = h + [1.0 + RHO**(p-1)] + h
    return np.array(h[:T]) / L

def sched_nested_silver(T, L=1.0, p_block=4):
    """Periodic ANYTIME schedule: repeat silver(p_block) of length 2^(
        p_block+1)-1."""
    block = sched_silver_horizon(2**(p_block+1) - 1, L=1.0)
    n = T // len(block) + 1
    return np.tile(b
# ... [truncated]

```

7 Conclusion

The conjecture remains formally open. Numerical experiments were **inconclusive** — neither strong support nor clear counterexamples were found. Further investigation (both formal and empirical) is warranted.