

Empirical Investigation of an Open Conjecture: KLS Conjecture (Kannan–Lovász–Simonovits)

Agentic NL→Lean 4 Pipeline
Job #45

April 26, 2026

Abstract

This report documents the empirical investigation of an open mathematical conjecture that could not be formally proved or disproved in Lean 4 with Mathlib. Numerical experiments were conducted to gather evidence for or against the conjecture. The empirical verdict is: **Empirically Supported**. The conjecture remains formally open.

1 Conjecture Statement

Conjecture 1.

KLS Conjecture (--KannanLovászSimonovits)

For each integer

1
n1, let

be a Borel probability measure on

R
n

that is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, with
density

: →

[
0
, ω

)
f:R
n→ω

[0, ω) of the form

(
)
= -

(
)
 $f(x) = e^{-V(x)}$
, where

: \rightarrow

$(-\infty$

, ∞

]

$V: \mathbb{R}^n$

$\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$(,]$ is convex; equivalently,

is log-concave. Assume

is isotropic, meaning

(
)
=
0
and

(

)

=

,

R

n

$x d(x) = 0$ and

R

n

xx

$d(x) = I$

n

,

where

I

n

is the

x

$n \times n$ identity matrix.

For a measurable set

AR

n

, define its

-enlargement by

=

{

:
dist

(
,
)

}
A

= { $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

: $\text{dist}(x, A) \leq r$ } and its Minkowski boundary measure (with respect to
) by

+
(
)

=
liminf ↓

0

(

)-

(
)

.

+
 $(A)_r = \text{liminf}_{r \rightarrow 0} \text{dist}(x, A)$

(A

) - (A)

.

Define the Cheeger (isoperimetric) constant of

as

=

\inf

measurable

,

0

<

(

)

<

1

+

(

)

\min

{

(

)

,

1-

(

)

}

.

=

A measurable, $0 < \mu(A) < 1$

inf

$\min \{ \mu(A)^{-1}, \mu(A) \}$

+

$\mu(A)$

.
Unsolved Problem

(--KannanLovászSimonovits conjecture; Kannan et al. (1995)) determine whether there exists a universal constant

>

0

$c > 0$ (independent of

n and of

) such that for every dimension

n and every isotropic log-concave probability measure

on

\mathbb{R}^n

,

,

.

c.

Equivalently, if

C

n

is the smallest number such that every isotropic log-concave

on

R

n

satisfies

+

(

)

1

min

{

(

)

,

1-

(

)

}

for all measurable

,

+

(A)

C

n

1

min {(A)- , 1(A)} for all measurable AR

n

,

the conjecture is that

sup

1

$< \omega$

\sup_{n1}

C
 $n\omega$

$<$ (that is,

=

(
1
)
 C
 n

$= O(1)$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$

n). Eldan (2013) introduced the stochastic localization approach. The best known general bound is currently

=

(
 \log

)
 C
 n

$= O(\log n)$

), due to Klartag (2023).

Solution Claims

Accepted clai
... [truncated]

2 Status

Formal Status: OPEN — no Lean 4 proof or disproof was found.

Empirical Verdict: Empirically Supported

The pipeline attempted formal verification in Lean 4 with Mathlib but was unable to produce a compiling proof or disproof. Empirical testing was then conducted to gather numerical evidence.

3 Basic Empirical Testing

The following output was produced by the basic numerical experiment:

```
=== EXPERIMENT PLAN ===

The KLS conjecture (Kannan-Lovasz-Simonovits, 1995):
For every isotropic log-concave probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the Cheeger
(isoperimetric) constant

$$\psi_\mu = \inf_A \mu^+(A) / \min(\mu(A), 1-\mu(A))$$

is bounded below by a UNIVERSAL positive constant  $c > 0$  (independent of  $n$ ).

Equivalently,  $C_n := \sup_\mu 1/\psi_\mu$  satisfies  $\sup_n C_n < \infty$ .
Best general result:  $C_n = O(\sqrt{\log n})$  (Klartag 2023). KLS asks for  $O(1)$ .

Direct exact computation of  $\psi_\mu$  is intractable. We instead:

(1) Sample  $N$  points from various isotropic log-concave families:
    Standard Gaussian, isotropic cube, centered exponentials,
    Laplace, uniform on isotropic  $L_1$ -ball.
    All are log-concave by classical results.

(2) For each measure  $\mu$ , compute many UPPER BOUNDS on  $\psi_\mu$  by trying
    a large family of test sets  $A$  and estimating

$$R(A) = \mu^+(A) / \min(\mu(A), 1-\mu(A))$$

    Each  $R(A) \geq \psi_\mu$ , so  $\min_A R(A)$  is a Monte-Carlo upper bound on
 $\psi_\mu$ .
    Test sets:
    (a) Random half-spaces  $A = \{\langle u, x \rangle \leq t\}$ 
         $\mu^+(A) =$  density of the marginal  $\langle u, x \rangle$  at  $t$  (vectorised KDE).
    (b) Symmetric slabs  $A = \{|\langle u, x \rangle| \leq t\}$ 
         $\mu^+(A) =$  sum of marginal densities at  $\pm t$ .
    (c) Centred balls  $A = \{\|x\| \leq r\}$ 
         $\mu^+(A) =$  density of  $\|x\|$  at  $r$  (relevant to the thin-shell
        conjecture, which is implied by KLS up to log factors).

(3) Sweep dimensions  $n$  in  $\{2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128\}$  and inspect how the
    empirical infimum  $\hat{\psi}(n)$  behaves. KLS predicts  $\hat{\psi}$  is
    bounded below by a positive constant. A counterexample-style scaling
```

$\psi_{\text{hat}}(n) \sim n^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha > 0$ would be evidence against KLS.

(4) Fit $\log \psi_{\text{hat}}(n) = a + \alpha * \log n$ and report α .
 $\alpha \approx 0$ supports KLS; $\alpha < 0$ with significant slope refutes it.

(5) Auxiliary thin-shell test: $\text{Var}(\|X\|/\sqrt{n}) \rightarrow 0$? KLS implies the thin-shell conjecture. Persistent decay supports the conjecture.

Running sweeps...

--- Gaussian ---

n= 2:	ψ_{hat} (half)=0.7910	(slab)=1.2430	(ball)=1.2016	min=0.7910
	$\text{Var}(\ X\ /\sqrt{n})=0.2159$			
n= 4:	ψ_{hat} (half)=0.7893	(slab)=1.2382	(ball)=1.1616	min=0.7893
	$\text{Var}(\ X\ /\sqrt{n})=0.1157$			
n= 8:	ψ_{hat} (half)=0.7898	(slab)=1.2453	(ball)=1.1381	min=0.7898
	$\text{Var}(\ X\ /\sqrt{n})=0.0606$			
n= 16:	ψ_{hat} (half)=0.7883	(slab)=1.2443	(ball)=1.1447	min=0.7883
	$\text{Var}(\ X\ /\sqrt{n})=0.0309$			
n= 32:	ψ_{hat} (half)=0.7914	(slab)=1.2385	(ball)=1.1448	min=0.7914
	$\text{Var}(\ X\ /\sqrt{n})=0.0154$			
n= 64:	ψ_{hat} (half)=0.7916	(slab)=1.2420	(ball)=1.1507	min=0.7916
	$\text{Var}(\ X\ /\sqrt{n})=0.0077$			
n=128:	ψ_{hat} (half)=0.7919	(slab)=1.2377	(ball)=1.1454	min=0.7919
	$\text{Var}(\ X\ /\sqrt{n})=0.0038$			

--- Uniform cube ---

n= 2:	ψ_{hat} (half)=0.5793	(slab)=1.1494	(ball)=1.4974	min=0.5793
	$\text{Var}(\ X\ /\sqrt{n})=0.1210$			
n= 4:	ψ_{hat} (half)=0.5902	(slab)=1.1643	(ball)=1.7139	min=0.5902
	$\text{Var}(\ X\ /\sqrt{n})=0.0562$			
n= 8:	ψ_{hat} (half)=0.6489	(slab)=1.2026	(ball)=1.7823	min=0.6489
	$\text{Var}(\ X\ /\sqrt{n})=0.0265$			
n= 16:	ψ_{hat} (half)=0.7700	(slab)=1.2364	(ball)=1.8439	min=0.7700
	$\text{Var}(\ X\ /\sqrt{n})=0.0129$			
n= 32:	ψ_{hat} (half)=0.7809	(slab)=1.2306	(ball)=1.8107	min=0.7809
	$\text{Var}(\ X\ /\sqrt{n})=0.0063$			
n= 64:	ψ_{hat} (half)=0.7850	(slab)=1.2214	(ball)=1.8497	min=0.7850
	$\text{Var}(\ X\ /\sqrt{n})=0.0031$			
n=128:	ψ_{hat} (half)=0.7878	(slab)=1.2492	(ball)=1.8335	min=0.7878
	$\text{Var}(\ X\ /\sqrt{n})=0.0016$			

--- Exp (centered) ---

n= 2:	ψ_{hat} (half)=0.8962	(slab)=0.9843	(ball)=0.9702	min=0.8962
	$\text{Var}(\ X\ /\sqrt{n})=0.3304$			
n= 4:	ψ_{hat} (half)=0.8577	(slab)=1.0165	(ball)=0.9447	min=0.8577
	$\text{Var}(\ X\ /\sqrt{n})=0.2288$			
n= 8:	ψ_{hat} (half)=0.8444	(slab)=1.2256	(ball)=0.8710	min=0.8444
	$\text{Var}(\ X\ /\sqrt{n})=0.1476$			
n= 16:	ψ_{hat} (half)=0.8421	(slab)=1.3012	(ball)=0.7241	min=0.7241
	$\text{Var}(\ X\ /\sqrt{n})=0.0900$			
n= 32:	ψ_{hat} (half)=0.8280	(slab)=1.2788	(ball)=0.6471	min=0.6471
	$\text{Var}(\ X\ /\sqrt{n})=0.0516$			

```
n= 64: psi_hat (half)=0.8071 (slab)=1.2645
... [truncated]
```

4 Experiment Code (Basic)

```
import matplotlib
matplotlib.use("Agg")
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import math
import time

t_start = time.time()

print("===_EXPERIMENT_PLAN_===")
print("""
The KLS conjecture (Kannan-Lovasz-Simonovits, 1995):
For every isotropic log-concave probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the Cheeger
(isoperimetric) constant

$$\psi_\mu = \inf_A \mu^+(A) / \min(\mu(A), 1-\mu(A))$$

is bounded below by a UNIVERSAL positive constant  $c > 0$  (independent of  $n$ ).

Equivalently,  $C_n := \sup_\mu 1/\psi_\mu$  satisfies  $\sup_n C_n < \infty$ .
Best general result:  $C_n = O(\sqrt{\log n})$  (Klartag 2023). KLS asks for  $O(1)$ .

Direct exact computation of  $\psi_\mu$  is intractable. We instead:

(1) Sample  $N$  points from various isotropic log-concave families:
    Standard Gaussian, isotropic cube, centered exponentials,
    Laplace, uniform on isotropic  $L_1$ -ball.
    All are log-concave by classical results.

(2) For each measure  $\mu$ , compute many UPPER BOUNDS on  $\psi_\mu$  by trying
    a large family of test sets  $A$  and estimating

$$R(A) = \mu^+(A) / \min(\mu(A), 1-\mu(A))$$

    Each  $R(A) \geq \psi_\mu$ , so  $\min_A R(A)$  is a Monte-Carlo upper bound on
 $\psi_\mu$ .
    Test sets:
    (a) Random half-spaces  $A = \{\langle u, x \rangle \leq t\}$ 
 $\mu^+(A) =$  density of the marginal  $\langle u, x \rangle$  at  $t$  (vectorised KDE).
    (b) Symmetric slabs  $A = \{|\langle u, x \rangle| \leq t\}$ 
 $\mu^+(A) =$  sum of marginal densities at  $\pm t$ .
    (c) Centred balls  $A = \{\|x\| \leq r\}$ 
 $\mu^+(A) =$  density of  $\|x\|$  at  $r$  (relevant to the thin-shell
    conjecture, which is implied by KLS up to log factors).

(3) Sweep dimensions  $n$  in  $\{2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128\}$  and inspect how the
    empirical infimum  $\psi_{\hat{\mu}}(n)$  behaves. KLS predicts  $\psi_{\hat{\mu}}$  is
    bounded below by a positive constant. A counterexample-style scaling
 $\psi_{\hat{\mu}}(n) \sim n^{-\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 0$  would be evidence against KLS.
```

```

(4) Fit  $\log \psi_{\text{hat}}(n) = a + \alpha * \log n$  and report  $\alpha$ .
     $\alpha \approx 0$  supports KLS;  $\alpha < 0$  with significant slope refutes
        it.

(5) Auxiliary thin-shell test:  $\text{Var}(\|X\|/\sqrt{n}) \rightarrow 0$ ? KLS implies the
    thin-shell conjecture. Persistent decay supports the conjecture.
""")

rng = np.random.default_rng(20260425)

N = 25000
DIMS = [2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128]
N_DIRS = 80
N_THRESH = 30
INV_SQRT_2PI = 1.0 / math.sqrt(2 * math.pi)

# ----- Samplers (each returns roughly isotropic, log-concave)
# -----
def sample_gaussian(n, M):
    return rng.standard_normal((M, n))

def sample_cube(n, M):
    return rng.uniform(-math.sqrt(3.0), math.sqrt(3.0), size=(M, n))

def sample_exp(n, M):
    return rng.exponential(1.0, size=(M, n)) - 1.0 # mean 0, var 1

def sample_laplace(n, M):
    return rng.laplace(0.0, 1.0 / math.sqrt(2.0), size=(M, n)) # var 1

def sample_l1_ball(n, M):
    # Uniform on unit l1 ball, then rescale to isotropic.
    e = rng.exponential(1.0, size=(M, n))
    sgn = rng.choice([-1.0, 1.0], size=(M, n))
    s = e.sum(axis=1, keepdims=True)
    u = sgn * e / s # uniform on l1 unit
    # sphere
    r = rng.uniform(0.0, 1.0, size=(M, 1)) ** (1.0 / n) # radius
    pts = u * r
    var = 2.0 / ((n + 1) * (n + 2)) # known coord
    # variance
    return pts / math.sqrt(var)

distributions = {
    "Gaussian": sample_gaussian,
    "Uniform_cube": sample_cube,
    "Exp_centered": sample_exp,
    "Laplace": sample_laplace,
    "Uniform_L1_ball": sample_l1_ball,
}

# ----- KDE helpers -----
def kde_density(samples, points, h):

```

```

    """Gaussian KDE density of `samples` evaluated at `points`. Vectorised.
    """
    z = (samples[:, None] - points[None, :]) / h
    return (np.exp(-0.5 * z * z).mean(axis=0)) * (INV_SQRT_2PI / h)

def halfspace_ratios(X):
    n = X.shape[1]; M = X.shape[0]
    U = rng.standard_normal((N_DIRS, n))
    U /= np.linalg.norm(U, axis=1, keepdims=True)
    P = U @ X.T # (N_DIRS, M)
    ratios = []
    qs = np.linspace(0.05, 0.95, N_THRESH)
    for i in range(N_DIRS):
        proj = P[i]
        sd = proj.std()
        if sd < 1e-9:
            continue
        h = 1.06 * sd * M ** (-1.0/5.0)
        ts = np.quantile(proj, qs)
        mu_A = (proj[:, None] <= ts[None, :]).mean(axis=0)
        f_t = kde_density(proj, ts, h)
        denom = np.minimum(mu_A, 1.0 - mu_A)
        mask = (denom > 0.01)
        if mask.any():
            ratios.append(f_t[mask] / denom[mask])
    return np.concatenate(ratios) if ratios else np.array([])

def slab_ratios(X):
    n = X.shape[1]; M = X.shape[0]
    K = min(50, N_DIRS)
    U = rng.standard_normal((K, n))
    U /= np.linalg.norm(U, axis=1, keepdims=True)
    P = U @ X.T
    ratios = []
    qs = np.linspace(0.1, 0.95, 20)
    for i in range(K):
        proj = P[i]; absproj = np.abs(proj)
        sd = proj.std()
        if sd < 1e-9:
            continue
        h = 1.06 * sd * M ** (-1.0/5.0)
        ts = np.quantile(absproj, qs)
        mu_A = (absproj[:, None] <= ts[None, :
# ... [truncated]

```

5 Experiment Code (Advanced)

```

import numpy as np
import matplotlib
matplotlib.use("Agg")
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

```

```

from scipy import sparse
from scipy.sparse.linalg import eigsh
import time, math, itertools

# Advanced KLS experiment: spectral gaps via weighted Neumann-Sturm-
  Liouville PDE,
# adversarial direction search, Eldan thin-shell estimates, and convergence/
  sensitivity tests.

t_start = time.time()

print("=== ADVANCED EXPERIMENT PLAN ===")
print("""
We probe the KLS conjecture beyond simple half-space Monte Carlo by
  combining
five research-grade ingredients:

(A) PDE-BASED SPECTRAL GAP. For each isotropic log-concave family  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 
  and many directions  $\theta$  in  $S^{n-1}$ , we form the 1D marginal density
   $\rho_\theta(t)$  via Gaussian KDE, then SOLVE the weighted Neumann
  Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem
     $-(\rho u')' = \lambda \rho u$  on  $[a,b]$ ,  $u'(a)=u'(b)=0$ 
  via second-order finite differences and a sparse generalized eigensolver
  (scipy.sparse.linalg.eigsh, shift-invert). The 2nd eigenvalue  $\lambda_{1,1}$ 
  is the Poincare constant of the marginal. By Cheeger  $\lambda_{1,1} \geq \psi^2/4$ 
  and by Ledoux/Buser for log-concave  $\psi \geq c \sqrt{\lambda_{1,1}}$ . So
   $\sqrt{\lambda_{1,1}}$  tracks the Cheeger constant of the marginal up to
  universal constants. KLS in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  implies  $\inf_\theta \sqrt{\lambda_{1,1}(\theta)}$ 
  )
   $\geq c$  uniformly in  $n$ .

(B) ADVERSARIAL DIRECTION SEARCH. Instead of averaging, we MINIMIZE over a
  pool of random Haar directions, coordinate axes, sparse directions and
  eigendirections of small empirical-cov perturbations -- attacking the
  conjecture from its worst 1D slice.

(C) THIN-SHELL CONSTANT (Eldan/Lee-Vempala). The KLS constant satisfies
   $\psi \geq c / \sigma_n$  where  $\sigma_n^2 := \text{Var}(|X|)/n$  is the thin-shell
  width. We track  $\sigma_n$ ; KLS true  $\Rightarrow \sigma_n$  bounded.

(D) MULTI-RESOLUTION CONVERGENCE. The eigenvalue solver is run at grids
   $m$  in  $\{100, 200, 400, 800, 1600\}$  and we fit the convergence order in  $1/m^p$ .

(E) MOMENT/ISOTROPY MONITORING (the analogue of energy conservation). We
  track  $|\hat{\Sigma} - I|_F$  (should be  $O(n/\sqrt{N})$ ) and the empirical
  mean (should be  $O(1/\sqrt{N})$ ) -- numerical drift in these would
  contaminate any conclusion about  $\psi$ .

If KLS is TRUE: as  $n$  grows,  $\inf_\theta \sqrt{\lambda_{1,1}}$  stays bounded below by
  a positive universal constant, AND  $\sigma_n$  remains  $O(1)$ .
If KLS is FALSE: either  $\sqrt{\lambda_{1,1}(\theta_{n^*})} \rightarrow 0$  along some direction
  sequence, or  $\sigma_n$  diverges.

```

```

""")
rng = np.random.default_rng(20260425)

#
-----

# Sampling: 6 isotropic log-concave families
#
-----

def isotropize(X):
    X = X - X.mean(axis=0)
    if X.shape[1] == 1:
        v = X.var()
        return X / np.sqrt(max(v, 1e-12))
    C = np.cov(X.T)
    U, s, _ = np.linalg.svd(C)
    s = np.maximum(s, 1e-12)
    W = U @ np.diag(1.0 / np.sqrt(s)) @ U.T
    return X @ W

def sample(name, n, N, rng):
    if name == "Gaussian":
        return rng.standard_normal((N, n))
    if name == "UniformCube":
        return rng.uniform(-1, 1, (N, n)) * np.sqrt(3.0)
    if name == "Exponential":
        return rng.exponential(1.0, (N, n)) - 1.0
    if name == "Laplace":
        return rng.laplace(0.0, 1.0 / np.sqrt(2.0), (N, n))
    if name == "Simplex":
        E = rng.exponential(1.0, (N, n + 1))
        S = E / E.sum(axis=1, keepdims=True)
        return isotropize(S[:, :n])
    if name == "CrossPolytope":
        E = rng.exponential(1.0, (N, n))
        sgn = rng.choice([-1.0, 1.0], (N, n))
        L = E * sgn
        L = L / np.sum(np.abs(L), axis=1, keepdims=True)
        r = rng.uniform(0, 1, (N, 1)) ** (1.0 / n)
        return isotropize(r * L)
    raise ValueError(name)

DISTS = ["Gaussian", "UniformCube", "Exponential", "Laplace", "Simplex", "
CrossPolytope"]

#
-----

# 1D weighted Neumann Sturm-Liouville solver
#
-----

```

```

def kde_on_grid(x1d, grid, h):
    N = len(x1d)
    rho = np.zeros_like(grid)
    bs = 4096
    norm = 1.0 / (N * h * np.sqrt(2.0 * np.pi))
    for i in range(0, N, bs):
        c = x1d[i : i + bs]
        z = (grid[:, None] - c[None, :]) / h
        rho += np.exp(-0.5 * z * z).sum(axis=1)
    return rho * norm

def spectral_gap_1d(rho, dx):
    m = len(rho)
    rho_e = 0.5 * (rho[:-1] + rho[1:])
    inv_dx2 = 1.0 / (dx * dx)
    main = np.empty(m)
    main[1:-1] = (rho_e[:-1] + rho_e[1:]) * inv_dx2
    main[0] = rho_e[0] * inv_dx2
    main[-1] = rho_e[-1] * inv_dx2
    off = -rho_e * inv_dx2
    A = sparse.diags([off, main, off], offsets=[-1, 0, 1], format="csr")
    M = sparse.diags(np.maximum(rho, 1e-14), format="csr")
    try:
        vals = eigsh(A, k=2, M=M, sigma=1e-10, which="LM",
                    return_eigenvectors=False, tol=1e-7, maxiter=2000)
    except Exception:
        try:
            # ... [truncated]

```

6 Conclusion

The conjecture remains formally open. Numerical experiments **support** the conjecture — no counterexamples were found across all tested parameter ranges. Further investigation (both formal and empirical) is warranted.