

Empirical Investigation of an Open Conjecture: Every odd integer greater than 5 can be expressed as the sum of a prime and twice

Agentic NL→Lean 4 Pipeline
Job #43

April 26, 2026

Abstract

This report documents the empirical investigation of an open mathematical conjecture that could not be formally proved or disproved in Lean 4 with Mathlib. Numerical experiments were conducted to gather evidence for or against the conjecture. The empirical verdict is: **Empirically Supported**. The conjecture remains formally open.

1 Conjecture Statement

Conjecture 1.

Every odd integer greater than 5 can be expressed as the sum of a prime and twice another prime.

2 Status

Formal Status: OPEN — no Lean 4 proof or disproof was found.

Empirical Verdict: **Empirically Supported**

The pipeline attempted formal verification in Lean 4 with Mathlib but was unable to produce a compiling proof or disproof. Empirical testing was then conducted to gather numerical evidence.

3 Basic Empirical Testing

The following output was produced by the basic numerical experiment:

```
=== EXPERIMENT PLAN ===
```

```
Conjecture (Levy's conjecture, 1963):
```

```
  Every odd integer  $n > 5$  can be expressed as  $n = p + 2q$ ,  
  where  $p$  and  $q$  are (not necessarily distinct) primes.
```

```
This is a famous open problem closely related to Goldbach's conjecture.  
We will collect strong empirical evidence via several complementary tests:
```

TEST 1 (Exhaustive sweep, small n):

Verify the conjecture for ALL odd n in [7, N_small].
A single failure here would refute the conjecture.

TEST 2 (Counterexample search at large random n):

Sample many large odd integers (up to $\sim 10^8$) and check the conjecture. This stresses the conjecture in a regime where structure is less obvious.

TEST 3 (Representation count $r(n)$):

Compute $r(n) = \#\{(p,q) \text{ prime} : n = p + 2q\}$.
Plot $r(n)$ vs n . The -HardyLittlewood heuristic predicts
 $r(n) \sim C * n / (\log n)^2$
for an explicit constant C depending on $n \bmod$ small primes.
Verifying this asymptotic growth is strong evidence that
 $r(n) \rightarrow \text{infinity}$, so $r(n) \geq 1$ a fortiori.

TEST 4 (Minimum representation count over a window):

Track $\min_{n \leq N} r(n)$. If this minimum grows, counterexamples become extraordinarily unlikely.

TEST 5 (Edge cases):

Test the smallest odd integers (7, 9, 11, ...) explicitly and exhibit a witness (p, q) for each.

TEST 6 (Statistical fit):

Linear regression of $\log(r(n))$ against $\log(n / \log(n)^2)$ to check the predicted slope ~ 1 .

Building prime sieve up to 2,000,000 ...
148,933 primes found.

--- TEST 1: Exhaustive verification for all odd n in [7, N_MAX] ---
Computing $r(n)$ for all odd n up to 200,000 via prime-shift convolution...
Odd integers tested in [7, 200000]: 99,997
Failures (counterexamples): 0
min $r(n)$ in range = 1
mean $r(n)$ in range = 948.77
max $r(n)$ in range = 4304

Existence-only sweep for all odd n up to 2,000,000 ...
Odd integers tested in [7, 2,000,000]: 999,997
Counterexamples found: 0

--- TEST 2: Random large odd integers up to 10^8 ---
Random trials: 12,000, range [7, 100,000,000]
Failures: 0
Every random odd integer admitted a witness (p,q).

--- TEST 5: Edge cases (smallest odd integers, with explicit witnesses) ---
n= 7 = 3 + 2*2 verified=True
n= 9 = 5 + 2*2 verified=True
n= 11 = 7 + 2*2 verified=True
n= 13 = 7 + 2*3 verified=True

```

n= 15 = 11 + 2*2   verified=True
n= 17 = 13 + 2*2   verified=True
n= 19 = 13 + 2*3   verified=True
n= 21 = 17 + 2*2   verified=True
n= 23 = 19 + 2*2   verified=True
n= 25 = 19 + 2*3   verified=True
n= 27 = 23 + 2*2   verified=True
n= 29 = 23 + 2*3   verified=True
n= 31 = 17 + 2*7   verified=True
n= 51 = 47 + 2*2   verified=True
n= 99 = 89 + 2*5   verified=True
n= 101 = 97 + 2*2  verified=True
n= 999 = 977 + 2*11 verified=True
n= 1001 = 997 + 2*2 verified=True

--- TESTS 3,4,6: Growth of r(n) and -HardyLittlewood comparison ---
      N      min r(n) for odd n in [7,N]
    100              1
    500              1
   1000              1
   5000              1
  10000              1
  50000              1
 100000              1
 200000              1

HL heuristic ratio r_actual / r_pred over 206 samples:
  mean   = 0.7255
  median = 0.6981
  std    = 0.1233

Linear fit log r(n) = a*log(n/log(n)^2) + b
  slope   = 0.9900 (predicted ~ 1)
  intercept = 0.3707

Generating publication-quality plots ...

```

4 Advanced Empirical Testing

A research-grade experiment was designed with nonlinear analysis, parameter sweeps, and convergence testing. Output:

```

=== ADVANCED EXPERIMENT PLAN ===

Conjecture (Lemoine / Levy, 1963): every odd n > 5 admits primes p,q with
n = p + 2q. This is a number-theoretic conjecture, so the analog of a
"PDE solver" is the *exact, vectorized arithmetic operator* that counts
representations:

    r(n) := #{(p,q) prime : n = p + 2q}

WHAT WE SIMULATE (going far beyond the basic experiment):

```

(A) Exact $r(n)$ via FFT-based prime-shift convolution at MULTIPLE resolutions $N \in \{2.5e5, 5e5, 1e6, 2e6\}$. This is the "grid refinement / convergence study": values must agree exactly on the overlap region (machine-integer convergence).

(B) Hardy-Littlewood / circle-method asymptotic test against the *full nonlinear* singular-series prediction
$$r(n) \sim 2 C \cdot n / \log^2 n \cdot S(n),$$
$$S(n) = \prod_{p|n} (p-1)/(p-2),$$
$$C = \prod_{p \geq 3} (1 - 1/(p-1)^2) \quad (\text{twin-prime constant}).$$
Computing $S(n)$ for every odd n up to $2 \cdot 10$ via the actual prime factorization sieve is the analog of evaluating a closed-form reference solution at every grid point.

(C) Truncated Euler-product convergence of $C(P) \rightarrow C$ as the prime cutoff $P \rightarrow \infty$. This is our analog of *spectral-resolution convergence*; expected rate $\sim (P \log P)^{-1}$.

(D) Energy / conserved-quantity analog: the integrated total
$$T(N) = \sum_{\text{odd } n \leq N} r(n)$$
must agree with the analytic prediction $T_{\text{pred}}(N)$. Drift is the arithmetic equivalent of energy non-conservation.

(E) Parameter sensitivity: replace 2 by $k \in \{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$ and look for counterexamples to the generalized conjecture "every odd $n > k+4 = p + k \cdot q$ ". $k=2$ is Levy. We expect $k=1$ to FAIL massively (n must equal $2 + \text{prime}$), demonstrating that the experimental pipeline can correctly REFUTE.

(F) Existence-only sweep up to $N_{\text{HUGE}} = 3 \cdot 10$ with the small- q witness method, plus a deep secondary check on any unwitnessed n .

(G) Distribution / moments of $r(n)$ at the highest resolution.

EXPECTED IF TRUE : $\min r(n) = 1$ at every scale; ratio $r/r_{\text{pred}} \rightarrow 1$; log-log slope = 1; $T(N)/T_{\text{pred}}(N) \rightarrow 1$; zero counterexamples in (A,F); sensitivity test shows $k=1$ fails, $k=2$ succeeds, etc.

EXPECTED IF FALSE: at least one odd $n > 5$ with $r(n)=0$ in (A) or (F).

[1] Building prime sieve up to $N_{\text{HUGE}} = 30,000,000$...
primes 30,000,000: 1,857,859 (0.1s)

[2] Exact $r(n)$ via FFT convolution at multiple resolutions ...
N= 250,000: |odd|=124,997 min=1 max=4936 mean=1136.1 failures=0 (0.0s)
N= 500,000: |odd|=249,997 min=1 max=9257 mean=1999.4 failures=0 (0.1s)
N=1,000,000: |odd|=499,997 min=1 max=16209 mean=3545.0 failures=0 (0.1s)
)
N=2,000,000: |odd|=999,997 min=1 max=31586 mean=6327.8 failures=0 (0.2s)
)

[3] Convergence: machine-integer agreement on overlaps

```

max |r_250000(n) - r_500000(n)| over n 250,000 = 0
max |r_500000(n) - r_1000000(n)| over n 500,000 = 0
max |r_1000000(n) - r_2000000(n)| over n 1,000,000 = 0

[4] Truncated Euler product C(P) ...
C(P 10) = 0.6835937500
C(P 30) = 0.6651383964
C(P 100) = 0.6613770845
C(P 300) = 0.6604871082
C(P 1000) = 0.6602457440
C(P 3000) = 0.6601862929
C(P 10000) = 0.6601682965
C(P 100000) = 0.6601623455
C(P1000000) = 0.6601618606
using C 0.6601618372 (limit 0.66016181584...)

[5] Singular factor S(n) for odd n 2·10 ...
done (0.2s)

[6] r_actual / r_pred for odd n [1000, 2,000,000]:
samples=999,500 mean=0.6236 median=0.6198 std=0.0140 min=0.4997 max
=0.9073
log r = a·log(n/log²n) + b → a=0.9767 (predicted 1) b=0.3476 R²
=0.837951

[7] 'Energy' / global integrated count ...Σ
r(n) actual = 6,327,791,195Σ
r(n) predicted = 10,235,083,874
relative error = 0.3818
Drift across dyadic windows (T_actual / T_pred):
[ 1000, 10000): ratio = 0.6989
[ 10000, 100000): ratio = 0.6557
[ 100000, 500000): ratio = 0.6324
[ 500000,1000000): ratio = 0.6224
[1000000,2000000): ratio = 0.6154

[
... [truncated]

```

5 Experiment Code (Basic)

```

import matplotlib
matplotlib.use("Agg")
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np
import random
import math
import time
from collections import Counter

# =====

```

```

# EXPERIMENT PLAN
# =====
print("===_EXPERIMENT_PLAN_===")
print("""
Conjecture (Levy's conjecture, 1963):
    Every odd integer  $n > 5$  can be expressed as  $n = p + 2q$ ,
    where  $p$  and  $q$  are (not necessarily distinct) primes.

This is a famous open problem closely related to Goldbach's conjecture.
We will collect strong empirical evidence via several complementary tests:

TEST 1 (Exhaustive sweep, small  $n$ ):
    Verify the conjecture for ALL odd  $n$  in  $[7, N_{small}]$ .
    A single failure here would refute the conjecture.

TEST 2 (Counterexample search at large random  $n$ ):
    Sample many large odd integers (up to  $\sim 10^8$ ) and check the
    conjecture. This stresses the conjecture in a regime where
    structure is less obvious.

TEST 3 (Representation count  $r(n)$ ):
    Compute  $r(n) = \#\{(p, q) \text{ prime} : n = p + 2q\}$ .
    Plot  $r(n)$  vs  $n$ . The Hardy-Littlewood heuristic predicts
        
$$r(n) \sim C * n / (\log n)^2$$

    for an explicit constant  $C$  depending on  $n \bmod$  small primes.
    Verifying this asymptotic growth is strong evidence that
     $r(n) \rightarrow$  infinity, so  $r(n) \geq 1$  a fortiori.

TEST 4 (Minimum representation count over a window):
    Track  $\min_{n \leq N} r(n)$ . If this minimum grows, counterexamples
    become extraordinarily unlikely.

TEST 5 (Edge cases):
    Test the smallest odd integers (7, 9, 11, ...) explicitly
    and exhibit a witness  $(p, q)$  for each.

TEST 6 (Statistical fit):
    Linear regression of  $\log(r(n))$  against  $\log(n / \log(n)^2)$ 
    to check the predicted slope  $\sim 1$ .
""")

start_time = time.time()

# =====
# Sieve of Eratosthenes
# =====
def sieve(N):
    is_prime = np.ones(N + 1, dtype=bool)
    is_prime[:2] = False
    for i in range(2, int(math.isqrt(N)) + 1):
        if is_prime[i]:
            is_prime[i*i::i] = False
    return is_prime

```

```

N_MAX = 2_000_000 # cap for representation counts and exhaustive sweep
print(f"Building prime sieve up to {N_MAX:},...")
is_prime = sieve(N_MAX)
primes = np.flatnonzero(is_prime)
print(f"{len(primes):}, primes found.")

# =====
# TEST 1: Exhaustive sweep for all odd n in [7, N_MAX]
# =====
print("\n---TEST1: Exhaustive verification for all odd n in [7, N_MAX]---")

# Build set of values 2*q for q prime
two_q = 2 * primes
two_q_set_small = set(int(x) for x in two_q if x <= N_MAX)

N_CNT = 200_000 # smaller cap for full r(n)
print(f"Computing r(n) for all odd n up to {N_CNT:}, via prime-shift convolution...")
P_indicator = is_prime[:N_CNT + 1].astype(np.int32) # indicator of primality
r = np.zeros(N_CNT + 1, dtype=np.int32)
for q in primes:
    twoq = 2 * int(q)
    if twoq > N_CNT:
        break
    r[twoq:] += P_indicator[:N_CNT + 1 - twoq]

odd_idx = np.arange(7, N_CNT + 1, 2)
r_odd = r[odd_idx]
failures = odd_idx[r_odd == 0]
print(f"Odd integers tested in [7, {N_CNT}]: {len(odd_idx):}")
print(f"Failures (counterexamples): {len(failures)}")
if len(failures) > 0:
    print("COUNTEREXAMPLES:", failures[:20])
print(f"min r(n) in range = {int(r_odd.min())}")
print(f"mean r(n) in range = {float(r_odd.mean()):.2f}")
print(f"max r(n) in range = {int(r_odd.max())}")

# Existence-only sweep up to N_MAX (cheaper memory, only existence)
print(f"\nExistence-only sweep for all odd n up to {N_MAX:},...")
exists = np.zeros(N_MAX + 1, dtype=bool)
P_full = is_prime[:N_MAX + 1]
for q in primes:
    twoq = 2 * int(q)
    if twoq > N_MAX:
        break
    exists[twoq:] |= P_full[:N_MAX + 1 - twoq]

odd_all = np.arange(7, N_MAX + 1, 2)
fail_big = odd_all[~exists[odd_all]]
print(f"Odd integers tested in [7, {N_MAX:}]: {len(odd_all):}")
print(f"Counterexamples found: {len(fail_big)}")
if len(fail_big) > 0:

```

```

    print("_FIRST_FEW:", fail_big[:20])

# =====
# TEST 2: Random large odd integers
# =====
print("\n---TEST2:Randomlargeoddintegersupto10^8---")

def is_probable_prime(n):
    if n < 2:
        return False
    small = [2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31,37]
    for p in small:
        if n == p:
            return True
        if n % p == 0:
            return False
    d = n - 1
    r_ = 0
    while d % 2 == 0:
        d //= 2
        r_ += 1
    for a in [2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31,37]:
        if a >= n:
            continue
        x = pow(a, d, n)
        if x == 1 or x == n - 1:
            continue
        for _ in range(r_ - 1):
            x = (x * x) % n
            if x == n - 1:
                return True
    return False

# ... [truncated]

```

6 Experiment Code (Advanced)

```

import numpy as np
import matplotlib
matplotlib.use("Agg")
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from scipy.signal import fftconvolve
from scipy.stats import linregress
import time, math

t_global = time.time()

print("===_ADVANCED_EXPERIMENT_PLAN===")
print("""
Conjecture (Lemoine / Levy, 1963): every odd  $n > 5$  admits primes  $p, q$  with
 $n = p + 2q$ . This is a number-theoretic conjecture, so the analog of a
"PDE solver" is the *exact, vectorized arithmetic operator* that counts
representations:

```

$r(n) := \#\{(p, q) \text{ prime} : n = p + 2q\}$

WHAT WE SIMULATE (going far beyond the basic experiment):

(A) Exact $r(n)$ via FFT-based prime-shift convolution at MULTIPLE resolutions $N \in \{2.5e5, 5e5, 1e6, 2e6\}$. This is the "grid refinement / convergence study": values must agree exactly on the overlap region (machine-integer convergence).

(B) Hardy-Littlewood / circle-method asymptotic test against the *full nonlinear* singular-series prediction

$$r(n) \sim 2 C \cdot n / \log^2 n \cdot S(n),$$

$$S(n) = \prod_{p|n} \left(\frac{p-1}{p-2} \right),$$

$$C = \prod_{p \geq 3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2} \right) \quad (\text{twin-prime constant}).$$

Computing $S(n)$ for every odd n up to $2 \cdot 10^6$ via the actual prime factorization sieve is the analog of evaluating a closed-form reference solution at every grid point.

(C) Truncated Euler-product convergence of $C(P) \rightarrow C$ as the prime cutoff $P \rightarrow \infty$. This is our analog of *spectral-resolution convergence*; expected rate $\sim (P \log P)^{-1}$.

(D) Energy / conserved-quantity analog: the integrated total

$$T(N) = \sum_{\text{odd } n \leq N} r(n)$$

must agree with the analytic prediction $T_{\text{pred}}(N)$. Drift is the arithmetic equivalent of energy non-conservation.

(E) Parameter sensitivity: replace 2 by $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ and look for counterexamples to the generalized conjecture "every odd $n > k+4 = p + k \cdot q$ ". $k=2$ is Levy. We expect $k=1$ to FAIL massively (n must equal $2 + \text{prime}$), demonstrating that the experimental pipeline can correctly REFUTE.

(F) Existence-only sweep up to $N_{\text{HUGE}} = 3 \cdot 10^6$ with the small- q witness method, plus a deep secondary check on any unwitnessed n .

(G) Distribution / moments of $r(n)$ at the highest resolution.

EXPECTED IF TRUE : $\min r(n) = 1$ at every scale; ratio $r/r_{\text{pred}} \rightarrow 1$; log-log slope = 1; $T(N)/T_{\text{pred}}(N) \rightarrow 1$; zero counterexamples in (A,F); sensitivity test shows $k=1$ fails, $k=2$ succeeds, etc.

EXPECTED IF FALSE: at least one odd $n > 5$ with $r(n)=0$ in (A) or (F).

""")

----- Sieve -----

N_FULL = 2_000_000

N_HUGE = 30_000_000

print(f"\n[1] Building prime sieve up to N_HUGE={N_HUGE:},...", flush=True)

t0 = time.time()

def sieve_bool(N):

 s = np.ones(N+1, dtype=bool); s[:2] = False

```

    for i in range(2, int(math.isqrt(N))+1):
        if s[i]:
            s[i*i::i] = False
    return s
is_prime_huge = sieve_bool(N_HUGE)
print(f"primes_{N_HUGE:},:_{int(is_prime_huge.sum()):}_{time.time()-t0:.1f}s")

# ----- r(n) at multiple resolutions -----
print("\n[2] Exact  $r(n)$  via FFT convolution at multiple resolutions...",
      flush=True)
def compute_r(N):
    is_p = is_prime_huge[:N+1].astype(np.float64)
    Q = np.zeros(N+1, dtype=np.float64)
    half = N//2
    Q[0:2*half+1:2] = is_prime_huge[:half+1].astype(np.float64)
    C = fftconvolve(is_p, Q)
    return np rint(C).astype(np.int64)

resolutions = [250_000, 500_000, 1_000_000, 2_000_000]
r_arrays = {}
for N in resolutions:
    t0 = time.time()
    C = compute_r(N)
    odds = np.arange(7, N+1, 2)
    r_odd = C[odds]
    r_arrays[N] = (odds, r_odd)
    nfail = int((r_odd == 0).sum())
    print(f"N={N:>9},: |odd|={len(odds):>7}, min={r_odd.min()}
          f"max={r_odd.max()} mean={r_odd.mean():.1f} failures={nfail}
          f"({time.time()-t0:.1f}s)")

print("\n[3] Convergence: machine-integer agreement on overlaps")
for i in range(len(resolutions)-1):
    N1, N2 = resolutions[i], resolutions[i+1]
    o1, r1 = r_arrays[N1]; o2, r2 = r_arrays[N2]
    sub = r2[:len(o1)]
    print(f"max_{r_{N1}(n) - r_{N2}(n)} over n_{N1:}, =_{int(np.abs(r1-sub).max())}")

# ----- Hardy-Littlewood -----
print("\n[4] Truncated Euler product  $C(P)$ ...")
def C2_truncated(Pcut):
    pp = np.flatnonzero(is_prime_huge[:Pcut+1])
    pp = pp[pp >= 3]
    return float(np.prod(1.0 - 1.0/(pp.astype(np.float64)-1.0)**2))
P_cuts = [10, 30, 100, 300, 1000, 3000, 10000, 100000, 1000000]
C2_vals = []
for P in P_cuts:
    v = C2_truncated(P); C2_vals.append(v)
    print(f"C(P_{P:>7}) =_{v:.10f}")
C2 = C2_truncated(2_000_000)
print(f"using C_{C2:.10f} (limit 0.66016181584...)")

```

```

print("\n[5] Singular factor S(n) for odd n  $\leq 2 \cdot 10^5$ ...", flush=True)
t0 = time.time()
def compute_S(N):
    S = np.ones(N+1, dtype=np.float64)
    odd_primes = np.flatnonzero(is_prime_huge[:N+1])
    odd_primes = odd_primes[odd_primes >= 3]
    for p in odd_primes:
        S[p:p] *= (p-1)/(p-2)
    return S
S_full = compute_S(N_FULL)
print(f"done ({time.time(
#...[truncated]

```

7 Conclusion

The conjecture remains formally open. Numerical experiments **support** the conjecture — no counterexamples were found across all tested parameter ranges. Further investigation (both formal and empirical) is warranted.