

Empirical Investigation of an Open Conjecture: KLS Conjecture (Kannan–Lovász–Simonovits)

Agentic NL→Lean 4 Pipeline
Job #14

April 26, 2026

Abstract

This report documents the empirical investigation of an open mathematical conjecture that could not be formally proved or disproved in Lean 4 with Mathlib. Numerical experiments were conducted to gather evidence for or against the conjecture. The empirical verdict is: **Empirically Supported**. The conjecture remains formally open.

1 Conjecture Statement

Conjecture 1.

KLS Conjecture (--KannanLovászSimonovits)

For each integer

1
n1, let

be a Borel probability measure on

R
n

that is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, with
density

: →

[
0
, ω

)

f:R
n→ω

[0, ω) of the form

(
)
= -

(
)
 $f(x) = e^{-V(x)}$
, where

: \rightarrow

$(-\infty$

, ∞

]

$V: \mathbb{R}^n$

$\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$(,]$ is convex; equivalently,

V is log-concave. Assume

Σ is isotropic, meaning

(
)
=
0
and

(

)

=

,

R

n

$xd(x)=0$ and

R

n

xx

$d(x)=I$

n

,

where

I

n

is the

x

$n \times n$ identity matrix.

For a measurable set

AR

n

, define its

-enlargement by

=

{

:
dist

(
,
)

}
A

= { $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

: $\text{dist}(x, A) \leq r$ } and its Minkowski boundary measure (with respect to
) by

+
(
)

=
liminf ↓

0

(

)-

(
)

.

+
 $(A)_\downarrow$
0
liminf

(A

)- (A)

.

Define the Cheeger (isoperimetric) constant of

as

=

inf

measurable

,

0

<

(

)

<

1

+

(

)

min

{

(

)

,

1-

(

)

}

.

=
A measurable, $0 < \mu(A) < 1$
inf

$\min \{ \mu(A)^-, \mu(A)^+ \}$

+
(A)

.
Unsolved Problem

(--KannanLovászSimonovits conjecture; Kannan et al. (1995)) determine whether
there exists a universal constant

>
0
 $c > 0$ (independent of

n and of

) such that for every dimension

n and every isotropic log-concave probability measure

on

\mathbb{R}^n ,
,

.

c.

Equivalently, if

C
 n

is the smallest number such that every isotropic log-concave

on

R

n

satisfies

+

(

)

1

min

{

(

)

,

1-

(

)

}

for all measurable

,

+

(A)

C

n

1

min {(A)- , 1(A)} for all measurable AR

n

,

the conjecture is that

sup

1

$< \omega$

\sup_{n1}

C
 $n\omega$

$<$ (that is,

=

(
1
)
 C
 n

$= O(1)$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$

n). Eldan (2013) introduced the stochastic localization approach. The best known general bound is currently

=

(
 \log
)
 C
 n

$= O(\log n)$

), due to Klartag (2023).

Solution Claims

Accepted claim
... [truncated]

2 Status

Formal Status: OPEN — no Lean 4 proof or disproof was found.

Empirical Verdict: Empirically Supported

The pipeline attempted formal verification in Lean 4 with Mathlib but was unable to produce a compiling proof or disproof. Empirical testing was then conducted to gather numerical evidence.

3 Basic Empirical Testing

The following output was produced by the basic numerical experiment:

```
=== EXPERIMENT PLAN ===
```

```
Goal: Empirically test the Kannan-Lovász-Simonovits (KLS) conjecture:  
  inf_{n>=1} inf_{isotropic log-concave on R^n} _ > 0,  
where _ is the Cheeger (Minkowski-boundary-over-mass) constant.
```

```
Since we cannot enumerate ALL isotropic log-concave measures, we test a  
diverse family of canonical examples across a broad range of dimensions:
```

- (a) Standard Gaussian -- known = $\sqrt{2/}$
- (b) Uniform on cube $\sqrt{[-3,3]^n}$ -- product, log-concave
- (c) Uniform on Euclidean ball (radius $\sqrt{(n+2)}$) -- rotationally symmetric
- (d) Product Laplace (scale $\sqrt{1/2}$) -- heavy-tail log-concave
- (e) Uniform on 1 ball -- non-product, convex body

```
Dimensions tested: n {2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200}; plus stress test n=500.
```

```
Methodology (multiple complementary angles):
```

- 1) Half-space Cheeger estimate. For each (n) we draw $N=3000$ i.i.d. points, whiten them (subtract mean, multiply by $\text{Cov}^{-1/2}$) to enforce isotropy EXACTLY on the empirical measure, then for $K=30$ random unit directions u estimate
$$R_u = \inf_t f_u(t) / \min(F_u(t), 1 - F_u(t))$$
via KDE + empirical CDF at quantiles $q \in [0.03, 0.97]$.
Set $\hat{c}_{HS}(n) = \min_u R_u$ (an upper bound on the true c_{HS}).
- 2) Counterexample hunt by direction. For the cube (believed extremal candidate) we evaluate axis and diagonal directions explicitly to see which directions try to "break" KLS.
- 3) Non-half-space cuts. Coordinate slabs $\{|x_1| \leq t\}$ and Euclidean balls $\{|x| \leq r\}$ are evaluated on Gaussian($n=50$) to confirm that half-spaces are tighter (smaller ratio) than these alternatives.
- 4) Asymptotic check. We plot $\hat{c}_{HS}(n)$ and also $\hat{c}_{HS}(n)\sqrt{\log n}$. KLS predicts the former flat; Klartag (2023) upper bound is $C_n = O(\sqrt{\log n})$, i.e. $\hat{c}_{HS}(n)\sqrt{\log n} \rightarrow \text{const.}$ A rapid decay of \hat{c}_{HS} toward 0 would refute KLS.
- 5) Statistical trend test. Linear regression of \hat{c}_{HS} on $\log(n)$ for each family; negative slopes of big magnitude would be a warning.

```

Verdict rule:
- SUPPORTED if min over all (,n) tested of  $\hat{\chi}_{HS}$  0.30 AND the
  regression slopes vs log(n) are NOT sharply negative.
- REFUTED if we find  $\hat{\chi}$  collapsing toward 0 in a dimension-growing way.
- INCONCLUSIVE otherwise.

=== RUNNING: half-space Cheeger estimates ===
n |          Gaussian |      Uniform cube |      Uniform ball |      Laplace
  prod | Uniform 1-ball
-----
  2 |          0.9676 |          0.7935 |          0.5736 |          0.6259
    |          0.9676 |          0.5839 |          0.6052 |          0.6824
  5 |          0.8484 |          0.7596 |          0.6052 |          0.6824
    |          0.8484 |          0.6955 |          0.6961 |          0.7176
 10 |          0.7931 |          0.7465 |          0.6961 |          0.7176
    |          0.7931 |          0.6960 |          0.7470 |          0.7405
 20 |          0.7850 |          0.7500 |          0.7470 |          0.7405
    |          0.7850 |          0.7259 |          0.7564 |          0.7382
 50 |          0.7857 |          0.7472 |          0.7564 |          0.7382
    |          0.7857 |          0.7265 |          0.7553 |          0.7369
100 |          0.7477 |          0.7445 |          0.7553 |          0.7369
    |          0.7477 |          0.7404 |          0.7343 |          0.7453
200 |          0.7685 |          0.7578 |          0.7343 |          0.7453
    |          0.7685 |          0.7565 |

Total directional trials (half-space cuts): 1050
Theoretical Gaussian Cheeger:  $\sqrt{2/}$  = 0.7979

=== BENCHMARK: non-half-space cuts (Gaussian, n=50) ===
Coordinate slab  $\{|x_1| \leq t\}$    min ratio = 1.2821
Euclidean ball  $\{|x| \leq r\}$    min ratio = 1.1598
Half-space est at n=50           = 0.7472
(Half-space < slab/ball -> half-spaces are the tighter test sets,
  consistent with KLS expectations.)

=== COUNTEREXAMPLE HUNT: extremal directions in the cube (n=100) ===
axis direction (worst?):  $\hat{\chi}$  = 0.5807 (theory: uniform  $\sqrt{[-3,3]}$  =>  $\sqrt{1/3}$ 
  0.5774)
diagonal direction (CLT):  $\hat{\chi}$  = 0.8032 (theory:  $\sim$ Gaussian =>  $\sqrt{2/}$  0.7979)
random direction:  $\hat{\chi}$  = 0.7675

=== HIGH-DIM STRESS TEST: n = 500 ===
      Gaussian, n=500:
... [truncated]

```

4 Experiment Code (Basic)

```

import numpy as np
import matplotlib

```

```

matplotlib.use("Agg")
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from scipy import stats
import time

np.random.seed(42)
rng = np.random.default_rng(42)

start = time.time()

print("=== EXPERIMENT PLAN ===")
print("""
Goal: Empirically test the Kannan-Lovász-Simonovits (KLS) conjecture:
  inf_{n>=1} inf_{isotropic log-concave on R^n} _ > 0,
where _ is the Cheeger (Minkowski-boundary-over-mass) constant.

Since we cannot enumerate ALL isotropic log-concave measures, we test a
diverse family of canonical examples across a broad range of dimensions:
  (a) Standard Gaussian -- known = sqrt(2)
  (b) Uniform on cube sqrt([-3,3]^n) -- product, log-concave
  (c) Uniform on Euclidean ball (radius sqrt(n+2)) -- rotationally symmetric
  (d) Product Laplace (scale sqrt(1/2)) -- heavy-tail log-concave
  (e) Uniform on 1 ball -- non-product, convex body
Dimensions tested: n {2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200}; plus stress test n=500.

Methodology (multiple complementary angles):
  1) Half-space Cheeger estimate. For each (, n) we draw N=3000 i.i.d.
  points, whiten them (subtract mean, multiply by Cov^{-1/2}) to enforce
  isotropy EXACTLY on the empirical measure, then for K=30 random unit
  directions u estimate
      R_u = inf_t f_u(t) / min(F_u(t), 1 - F_u(t))
  via KDE + empirical CDF at quantiles q [0.03, 0.97].
  Set ^_HS(n, ) = min_u R_u (an upper bound on the true _).
  2) Counterexample hunt by direction. For the cube (believed extremal
  candidate) we evaluate axis and diagonal directions explicitly to
  see which directions try to "break" KLS.
  3) Non-half-space cuts. Coordinate slabs {|x_1|/t} and Euclidean
  balls {|x|/r} are evaluated on Gaussian(n=50) to confirm that
  half-spaces are tighter (smaller ratio) than these alternatives.
  4) Asymptotic check. We plot ^_HS(n) and also ^_HS(n) * sqrt(log n).
  KLS predicts the former flat; Klartag (2023) upper bound is
  C_n = O(sqrt(log n)), i.e. ^_HS * sqrt(log n) const. A rapid decay of ^_
  toward 0 would refute KLS.
  5) Statistical trend test. Linear regression of ^_HS on log(n) for
  each family; negative slopes of big magnitude would be a warning.

Verdict rule:
  - SUPPORTED if min over all (,n) tested of ^_HS > 0.30 AND the
  regression slopes vs log(n) are NOT sharply negative.
  - REFUTED if we find ^_ collapsing toward 0 in a dimension-growing way.
  - INCONCLUSIVE otherwise.
""")

# ----- Samplers -----

```

```

def sample_gauss(N_, n):
    return rng.standard_normal((N_, n))

def sample_cube(N_, n):
    return rng.uniform(-np.sqrt(3.0), np.sqrt(3.0), (N_, n))

def sample_uniform_ball(N_, n):
    R = np.sqrt(n + 2.0)
    Y = rng.standard_normal((N_, n))
    Y /= np.linalg.norm(Y, axis=1, keepdims=True)
    u = rng.random(N_)
    r = R * u**(1.0/n)
    return r[:, None] * Y

def sample_laplace(N_, n):
    return rng.laplace(0.0, 1.0/np.sqrt(2.0), (N_, n))

def sample_uniform_l1_ball(N_, n):
    R = np.sqrt((n + 1.0) * (n + 2.0) / 2.0)
    E = rng.exponential(1.0, (N_, n + 1))
    S = E.sum(axis=1, keepdims=True)
    T = R * E[:, :n] / S
    signs = rng.choice(np.array([-1.0, 1.0]), size=(N_, n))
    return signs * T

distros = {
    "Gaussian":          sample_gauss,
    "Uniform $\square$ cube":    sample_cube,
    "Uniform $\square$ ball":      sample_uniform_ball,
    "Laplace $\square$ prod":      sample_laplace,
    "Uniform $\square$ 1-ball":    sample_uniform_l1_ball,
}

# ----- Helpers -----

def isotropize(X):
    Xc = X - X.mean(axis=0)
    if X.shape[1] == 1:
        s = Xc.std(ddof=0)
        return Xc / max(s, 1e-12)
    C = np.cov(Xc, rowvar=False)
    vals, vecs = np.linalg.eigh(C)
    vals = np.maximum(vals, 1e-12)
    W = (vecs * vals**(-0.5)) @ vecs.T
    return Xc @ W

def halfspace_cheeger_estimate(X, n_dirs=30, q_lo=0.03, q_hi=0.97, n_q=60):
    N_, n = X.shape
    U = rng.standard_normal((n_dirs, n))
    U /= np.linalg.norm(U, axis=1, keepdims=True)
    Z = X @ U.T
    qs = np.linspace(q_lo, q_hi, n_q)
    ratios = np.zeros(n_dirs)

```

```

    for k in range(n_dirs):
        z = Z[:, k]
        kde = stats.gaussian_kde(z, bw_method="silverman")
        ts = np.quantile(z, qs)
        fs = kde(ts)
        rs = fs / np.minimum(qs, 1.0 - qs)
        ratios[k] = rs.min()
    return ratios.min(), ratios

# ----- Main sweep -----

dims = [2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200]
N_SAMPLES = 3000
N_DIRS = 30

results = {name: {"psi_min": [], "psi_mean": [], "psi_std": []}
           for name in distros}
total_trials = 0

print("===_RUNNING:_half-space_Cheeger_estimates_===")
header = f"{'n':>5}_|_|" + "|_|".join(f"{'name':>16}" for name in distros)
print(header)
print("-" * len(header))
for n in dims:
    row = [f"{n:>5}"]
    for name, sampler in distros.items():
        X = sampler(N_SAMPLES, n)
        X = isotropize(X)
        psi_hat, per_dir = halfspace_
# ... [truncated]

```

5 Conclusion

The conjecture remains formally open. Numerical experiments **support** the conjecture — no counterexamples were found across all tested parameter ranges. Further investigation (both formal and empirical) is warranted.