# The Curse of Low Task Diversity: On the Failure of Transfer Learning to Outperform MAML and Their Empirical Equivalence

<sup>1</sup>Computer Science, Stanford

### **Introduction and Motivation**

**<u>Problem Statement</u>** : Recent work on meta-learning claims that transfer learning can beat most meta-learning algorithms. Without contextualizing claims, systematic comparisons, or data set analysis. Can we shed some light on this?

Goal

- A systematic comparison of meta-learning and transfer learning
- A fair comparison of meta-learning and transfer learning
- Contextualize claims with an emphasis on a data centric analysis that quantifies the intrinsic diversity of the data

**Our contributions** are summarized as follows:

- 1. We propose a novel metric that quantifies the **intrinsic diversity** of the data of a few-shot learning benchmark – the diversity coefficient.
- 2. We show that two of the most prominent few-shot learning benchmarks Minilmagenet and Cifar-fs – have diversity is low.
- 3. We contextualize and clarify past results and show that **Transfer Learning with USL does not** outperform MAML under a fair comparison

### **Background: MAML, Transfer Learning and Few-Shot-Learning**

Model-Agnostic Meta-Learning (MAML) : attempts to meta-learn an initialization for a neural network that is primed for fast SGD adaptation:

•  $f_{\hat{\theta}_{MAML}} = \min_{\theta} \sum_{\tau_i \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}_i}(f_{\theta - \alpha \nabla \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}_i}(f_{\theta})})$ 

### Transfer Learning with Union Supervised Learning (USL) :

- 1. Pre-train with a union of all classes:  $f_{\hat{\theta}_{USL}} = \min_{\theta} \mathcal{L}_{USL}(\cup_{\tau_i \in \mathcal{T}\tau_i}, W_{cls}f_{\theta})$  [USL]
- 2. At test tine fine-tune final layer:  $f(x) = \hat{W}_{cls} f_{\theta_S L}(x)$  s.t.  $\hat{W}_{cls} = \min_{W_{cls}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}_i}(\tau_i, W_{cls} f_{\theta})$  [USL]

### Standard n-way, k-shot few-shot classification task:



Brando Miranda <sup>1, 2</sup>

Patrick Yu<sup>2</sup>

Yu-Xiong Wang<sup>2</sup> Sanmi Koyejo<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>2</sup>University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

# **Motivation for Diversity**

Motivation: Intuitively, if a few-shot learning data set is not diverse (i.e. no large difference in tasks) – then there is little reason to adapt or perhaps meta-learn.



### **Formal Definition of Diversity**

**Definition:** Therefore, the definition of few-shot learning data set captures some notion of "total" distance between distributions of tasks. Therefore the proposed **diversity coefficients**:

Ground Truth Diversity Coefficient:

 $div(B) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau_1 \sim p(\tau|B), \tau_2 \sim p(\tau|B): \tau_1 \neq \tau_2} \left[ d(p(x_1, y_1 \mid \tau_1), p(x_2, y_2 \mid \tau_2)) \right]$ 

Diversity Coefficient on Real Data with Task Embeddings:

 $\hat{div}(B) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau_1 \sim \hat{p}(\tau|B), \tau_2 \sim \hat{p}(\tau|B): \tau_1 \neq \tau_2} \mathbb{E}_{D_1 \sim \hat{p}(x_1, y_1|\tau_1), D_2 \sim \hat{p}(x_2, y_2|\tau_2)} \left[ d(\hat{F}_{D_1, f_w}, \hat{F}_{D_2, f_w}) \right]$ 

Where  $F_{D_{\tau},f_w}$  is the embedding of task  $\tau$  with the Task2Vec method – which is the diagonal of the Fish Information Matrix (FIM) of the data set D from task  $\tau$  with a fixed probe network  $f_w$ .

# Method: Fair Comparison

Compute diversity, and compare performance (accuracy) fairly i.e.:

- Use same architecture
- Use same optimizer
- All models trained to convergence







### **Results 1: Low Diversity Computations**

Probe Network	Diversity on MI	Diversity on Cifar-fs
Resnet18 (pt)	$0.117\pm2.098\text{e-}5$	$0.100 \pm 2.18e-5$
Resnet18 (rand)	$0.0955 \pm 1.29e-5$	$0.103 \pm 1.05e-5$
Resnet34 (pt)	$0.0999 \pm 1.95e-5$	$0.0847 \pm 3.06e-5$
Resnet34 (rand)	$0.0620 \pm 8.12e-6$	$0.0643 \pm 9.64e-6$

MI = "Mini-Imagenet"

## **Results 2: Transfer Learning with USL doesn't outperform MAML**



# **Results 3: USL doesn't outperform MAML even as Model Size Changes**



- Under a fair comparison
- And in the low diversity regime
- Transfer Learning with USL cannot outperform MAML



### Conclusions